

ONLINE FIRST

Safety of Hepatic Resections in Obese Veterans

John K. Saunders, MD; Alan S. Rosman, MD; Dena Neihaus, RN, MPA;
Thomas H. Gouge, MD; Marcovalerio Melis, MD

Objective: To determine the effects of body mass index (BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) on outcomes after liver resection performed at Veterans Affairs medical centers.

Design, Setting, and Patients: We queried the Veterans Affairs Surgical Quality Improvement Program database for liver resections (2005-2008) and grouped the patients into 5 BMI categories: normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), obese class 1 (BMI 30.0-34.9), obese class 2 (BMI 35.0-39.9), and obese class 3 (BMI \geq 40.0). Differences in risk factors and perioperative complications across groups were analyzed in univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results: Of 403 patients who underwent hepatectomy, 106 (26%) were normal weight, 161 (40%) were overweight, 94 (23%) were obese class 1, 31 (8%) were obese class 2, and 11 (3%) were obese class 3. Among these groups, higher BMI was associated with increased rates of hypertension (52%, 61%, 77%, 77%, and 73%, respectively; $P=.002$) and diabetes (18%, 27%, 36%, 39%, and

45%, respectively; $P=.04$) and lower incidence of smokers (53%, 35%, 30%, 16%, and 9%, respectively; $P<.001$). The BMI groups were similar in demographic characteristics and metrics correlating with preexisting liver disease. There were no differences across BMI groups in overall and specific morbidity or in length of stay. Compared with the other groups, obese class 3 patients received more blood transfusions (mean [SD], 4.3 [2.7] in obese class 3 patients vs 1.1 [0.2] in normal-weight patients; $P=.02$) and had a higher 30-day mortality (27% in obese class 3 patients vs 6% in normal-weight patients; $P=.05$). Multivariate analyses confirmed obese class 3 as an independent predictor of postoperative mortality.

Conclusions: Obesity did not increase postoperative complications after liver resection in veterans. After adjusting for other clinical factors, extreme obesity (BMI \geq 40.0) was an independent risk factor for increased mortality.

Arch Surg. 2012;147(4):331-337. Published online December 19, 2011. doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1404

OF THE US POPULATION, 65% to 70% of individuals are at least overweight (body mass index [BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared] \geq 25.0) and about 27% are obese (BMI \geq 30.0).¹ Incidence of obesity seems to be even higher among veterans, with a prevalence reported at 37% among patients using the Veterans Affairs (VA) medical centers.²

See Invited Critique at end of article

The strong association of obesity with cardiovascular disease, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and other comorbidities has led many surgeons to investigate the influence of an elevated BMI on postoperative morbidity and mortality following major surgery. Those studies have generated

contradicting results, and currently the impact of obesity on perioperative outcomes remains poorly defined in the surgical literature.³⁻¹¹ One of the reasons for those apparently conflicting results is that most studies have compared outcomes of obese patients as a whole with outcomes of overweight and normal-weight patients. This approach does not consider that different degrees of obesity might be associated with different outcomes. Some studies in fact have suggested that while mild obesity may not significantly impair surgical outcomes, extreme obesity (BMI \geq 40.0) might increase risks of complications.¹²

For a number of reasons, surgeons will increasingly be performing hepatic surgery for obese patients. Indications for liver resection are expanding, the prevalence of obesity is on the rise, and patients with a high BMI are at increased risk for developing hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal liver metastases.¹³⁻¹⁷ The grow-

Author Affiliations:

Department of Surgery, New York Harbor Healthcare System Veterans Affairs Medical Center, New York (Drs Saunders, Gouge, and Melis and Ms Neihaus), and Division of Gastroenterology, James J. Peters Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Bronx (Dr Rosman).

ing use of hepatobiliary surgical procedures in a more obese patient population demands that surgeons recognize the complex relationship between obesity and surgical outcomes.¹⁸⁻²¹

The VA Surgical Quality Improvement Program (VASQIP) offers a unique opportunity to study the relationship between obesity and surgical outcomes following hepatic surgery. Each year, the VASQIP prospectively collects detailed data regarding perioperative risk and outcomes for more than 127 000 surgical procedures performed across 124 VA medical centers.

Since its inception in 1994, the VASQIP has been the gold standard for analysis of surgery outcomes. Initially started as a tool to monitor quality within the many VA medical centers throughout the nation, it has since been implemented in many sectors as a tool to improve the quality of the surgical care delivered.²² The VASQIP has been repeatedly validated. It compares favorably to administrative data for predicting adverse outcomes based on preoperative factors.²³ It has also bested other administrative data collections in predicting mortality based on risk assessment.²⁴

In this study, we used the VASQIP database specifically to examine whether different degrees of obesity are associated with increased complication rates after liver resection in a population of veterans.

METHODS

Data are prospectively collected in the VASQIP from several VA medical centers by trained surgical clinical reviewers at each site and are submitted to the central registry. Data collection includes 13 demographic variables, 28 clinical variables, 13 intraoperative variables, and information on postoperative morbidity and mortality. The BMI was recorded in the VASQIP database for those patients undergoing surgery after 2004. The VA National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database was queried for patients undergoing elective hepatic resection (*Current Procedural Terminology Fourth Edition* codes 47120, 47122, 47125, 47130) between January 1, 2005, and December 31, 2008. Patients with the primary procedure listed as liver biopsy or wedge biopsy of the liver (*Current Procedural Terminology* codes 47000, 47001, 47100) were excluded. We grouped patients into 5 BMI groups according to the World Health Organization's BMI categories²⁵: normal weight was defined as a BMI of 18.5 to 24.9; overweight, a BMI of 25.0 to 29.9; obese class 1, a BMI of 30.0 to 34.9; obese class 2, a BMI of 35.0 to 39.9; and obese class 3, a BMI of 40.0 or greater. Underweight (BMI 16.0-18.4) and severely underweight (BMI <16.0) patients were excluded. The principal aim of this analysis was to determine whether different obesity categories were associated with higher 30-day mortality and overall and specific complication rates. Specific complications included deep and organ-space surgical site infections, pneumonia, acute renal failure, myocardial infarction, venous thromboembolism, stroke, postoperative bleeding requiring blood transfusion, septic shock, unplanned intubation, mechanical ventilation (>48 hours), and fascial dehiscence.

We compared patient demographic characteristics and comorbidities by BMI category and calculated unadjusted complication and mortality rates using χ^2 test or 2-tailed Fisher exact test for categorical variables and *t* test and analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test (when a normal

distribution was not present) for continuous variables. We then used logistic regression techniques (forward conditional method) to confirm the relevance of those metrics found to be significantly different ($P < .05$) across groups during univariate analysis. Age and sex were also included in the multivariate analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS for Windows version 10 statistical software (SPSS Inc). Results were expressed as mean (standard deviation) or percentage. All tests were 2-tailed, and $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

This project was approved by the institutional review board of the New York Harbor Healthcare System VA Medical Center.

RESULTS

The VASQIP query yielded 386 men and 17 women who fit inclusion criteria. The BMI breakdown was as follows: 106 patients (26%) were normal weight, 161 (40%) were overweight, 94 (23%) were obese class 1, 31 (8%) were obese class 2, and 11 (3%) were obese class 3. Eight underweight patients (BMI <18.4) were excluded from the current analysis. **Table 1** shows baseline characteristics of patients in the 5 BMI groups. There was a higher incidence of smokers in normal-weight patients. There were significantly higher rates of hypertension in the obese class 1 and obese class 2 groups and significantly higher rates of diabetes among the obese class 2 and obese class 3 groups. Patients in the extreme obesity group (obese class 3) had undergone more percutaneous coronary interventions. Obese class 3 patients also had worse functional status compared with the normal-weight group (independent functional status, 91% vs 98%, respectively; $P < .01$). The BMI groups were otherwise similar in terms of age, race, sex, underlying pathology, underlying comorbidities, and institution where the procedure was performed. Notably, there were no significant differences across groups with regard to the various metrics that could be attributed to preexisting liver disease, such as rates of alcohol abuse, ascites, or esophageal varices. Liver resection was performed for liver malignant neoplasms in 90% of patients, and rates of preoperative administration of chemotherapy and radiation therapy were similar across groups.

The only significant difference in preoperative laboratory analysis was that the obese class 3 group had a significantly higher level of alkaline phosphatase (**Table 2**).

There were no differences in type of resection performed (segmentectomy vs lobectomy vs trisegmentectomy), number of blood units transfused intraoperatively, operative time, and work relative value units (**Table 3**).

Postoperatively, there were no significant differences in overall and specific morbidity across BMI groups (**Table 4**). Postoperative cardiac arrest and mortality were higher in obese class 3 patients. When controlling for obesity category, age, sex, functional status, smoking history, underlying diabetes and hypertension, prior percutaneous coronary interventions, and preoperative levels of alkaline phosphatase, a BMI of 40.0 or greater remained a significant predictor of postoperative mortality.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	BMI Group ^a					P Value
	Normal Weight (n = 106)	Overweight (n = 161)	Obese Class 1 (n = 94)	Obese Class 2 (n = 31)	Obese Class 3 (n = 11)	
Age, mean (SEM), y	62.2 (0.8)	62.8 (0.8)	62.3 (1.0)	60.8 (2.0)	60.4 (5.2)	.89
Race/ethnicity, %						.51
White	46	48	48	61	45	
Hispanic	6	6	5	13	0	
African American	13	17	23	6	9	
Asian	1	2	2	0	9	
Other or unknown	34	26	21	19	36	
Male, %	94	97	99	87	91	.07
Height, mean (SEM), m	1.76 (0.01)	1.77 (0.01)	1.76 (0.01)	1.73 (0.02)	1.68 (0.03) ^b	.001
Weight, mean (SEM), kg	69.8 (0.8)	86.9 (0.7) ^c	100.7 (1.1) ^c	111.5 (2.2) ^c	130.0 (6.9) ^c	<.001
Smoking history, %	53	35 ^c	30 ^c	16 ^c	9 ^c	<.001
Tobacco use, mean (SEM), pack-y	38.6 (4.4)	33.4 (3.8)	32.4 (4.7)	27.6 (8.3)	18.2 (11.3)	.48
Functional status, %						.02
Class 1	98	99	96	100	91 ^c	
Class 2	2	1	3	0	0	
Class 3	0	0	1	0	9	
Hypertension, %	52	61	77 ^c	77 ^b	73	.002
Diabetes status, %						.04
Nondiabetic	81	73	64 ^b	71	55 ^c	
Diabetic, treated with oral medication	8	17	19	13	45	
Diabetic, treated with insulin	10	10	17	16	0	
Alcoholism, %	12	7	5	10	0	.41
Medical history, %						
Cardiac surgery	4	7	10	0	0	.25
PCI	5	4	5	10	27	.03
MI	1	0	1	0	0	.83
CVA						
With deficit	3	2	2	0	9	.62
Without deficit	6	2	1	3	0	.18
TIA	0	2	2	3	9	.31
Ascites	2	4	2	3	0	.91
Varices	1	2	2	0	0	.87
Bleeding disorder	3	5	4	0	9	.68
Preoperative treatment, %						
Chemotherapy	4	2	5	6	0	.42
Radiation therapy	1	1	0	3	0	.62
Steroids	0	1	1	3	0	.71
Severe COPD, %	14	12	7	10	9	.76
Acute renal failure, %	2	0	0	0	0	.33
Peripheral vascular disease, %	4	2	0	3	9	.29
ASA classification, mean (SEM)	3.0 (0.05)	3.0 (0.04)	3.1 (0.04)	3.0 (0.10)	3.2 (0.12)	.44
Preoperative diagnosis, %						.86
Nonneoplastic	5	6	5	0	9	
Benign neoplasia	6	6	2	3	9	
Malignant	90	89	93	97	82	

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

^a The BMIs for each group are as follows: normal weight, 18.5 to 24.9; overweight, 25.0 to 29.9; obese class 1, 30.0 to 34.9; obese class 2, 35.0 to 39.9; and obese class 3, 40.0 or greater.

^b $P < .05$ compared with the normal-weight BMI group.

^c $P < .01$ compared with the normal-weight BMI group.

COMMENT

In the United States, approximately two-thirds of all adults are overweight or obese, and nearly half of the US population (45%) is expected to be obese by 2020.^{26,27} In line with recent epidemiologic data, most patients in our study were overweight or obese (40% and 34%, respectively).

Despite current obesity trends, the surgical community has only recently started investigating effects of high BMI on outcomes after various surgical procedures. However, data have so far provided inconsistent results. Some investigators have found that an elevated BMI is an independent predictor of morbidity, whereas others have argued that rates of complications in obese patients are directly attributable to clustered risk factors such as smoking

Table 2. Baseline Laboratory Characteristics

Characteristic	BMI Group, Mean (SEM) ^a					P Value
	Normal Weight (n = 106)	Overweight (n = 161)	Obese Class 1 (n = 94)	Obese Class 2 (n = 31)	Obese Class 3 (n = 11)	
Hematocrit, %	39.6 (0.5)	39.9 (0.4)	40.5 (0.5)	39.8 (1.0)	39.2 (2.0)	.87
Platelet count, ×10 ³ /μL	244.9 (10.3)	211.4 (7.1)	203.5 (8.8)	223.7 (15.2)	261.4 (29.1)	.15
INR	1.08 (0.02)	1.08 (0.01)	1.06 (0.01)	1.06 (0.02)	1.08 (0.06)	.80
Albumin, g/dL	3.75 (0.05)	3.80 (0.05)	3.82 (0.06)	3.84 (0.11)	3.90 (0.09)	.89
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L	111.5 (7.6)	111.4 (5.4)	102.3 (5.4)	79.4 (4.8)	192.9 (73.0) ^b	.002
Bilirubin, mg/dL	0.8 (0.05)	0.8 (0.06)	0.8 (0.04)	0.7 (0.08)	0.7 (0.08)	.37
BUN, mg/dL	14.9 (0.7)	15.4 (0.5)	15.1 (0.6)	15.4 (1.1)	14.7 (1.7)	.95
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.0 (0.06)	1.0 (0.03)	1.0 (0.02)	1.1 (0.05)	1.1 (0.09)	.94

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); BUN, blood urea nitrogen; INR, international normalized ratio.

SI conversion factors: To convert hematocrit to proportion of 1.0, multiply by 0.01; to convert platelet count to ×10⁹ per liter, multiply by 1.0; to convert albumin to grams per liter, multiply by 10; to convert alkaline phosphatase to microkatalas per liter, multiply by 0.0167; to convert bilirubin to micromoles per liter, multiply by 17.104; to convert BUN to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.357; and to convert creatinine to micromoles per liter, multiply by 88.4.

^aThe BMIs for each group are as follows: normal weight, 18.5 to 24.9; overweight, 25.0 to 29.9; obese class 1, 30.0 to 34.9; obese class 2, 35.0 to 39.9; and obese class 3, 40.0 or greater.

^bP < .01 compared with the normal-weight BMI group.

Table 3. Operative Characteristics

Characteristic	BMI Group ^a					P Value
	Normal Weight (n = 106)	Overweight (n = 161)	Obese Class 1 (n = 94)	Obese Class 2 (n = 31)	Obese Class 3 (n = 11)	
Type of resection, %						.20
Partial lobectomy	62	60	70	81	55	
Right lobectomy	18	24	18	16	27	
Left lobectomy	14	9	3	3	9	
Trisegmentectomy	6	7	9	0	9	
Units of blood transfused, mean (SEM), No.	1.1 (0.2)	1.7 (0.3)	2.5 (0.5)	0.9 (0.3)	4.3 (2.7)	.02
Operative time, mean (SEM), h	5.1 (0.2)	5.1 (0.2)	5.4 (0.3)	4.5 (0.4)	6.7 (0.8)	.28
Work RVUs, mean (SEM)	43.7 (0.8)	44.4 (0.7)	42.6 (0.8)	40.8 (1.3)	44.3 (2.8)	.25

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); RVUs, relative value units.

^aThe BMIs for each group are as follows: normal weight, 18.5 to 24.9; overweight, 25.0 to 29.9; obese class 1, 30.0 to 34.9; obese class 2, 35.0 to 39.9; and obese class 3, 40.0 or greater.

or diabetes and that high BMI is not a risk factor for major postoperative complications (perhaps aside from an increased incidence of minor wound complications) or death.^{3-12,28-31} Interestingly, some researchers claim an advantage in postoperative survival for moderately obese patients (the “obesity paradox”). For instance, in a National Surgical Quality Improvement Program–based report of 118 707 patients undergoing nonbariatric general surgery, Mullen et al³² found that overweight, obese class 1, obese class 2, and obese class 3 patients had lower 30-day mortality than their underweight and normal-weight counterparts.

Some of these discrepancies across studies could be explained by the use of different definitions of perioperative complications and obesity (especially in reports from eastern Asia), inclusion of heterogeneous surgical procedures, and lack of statistical power (especially for groups in the highest BMI categories).

Few studies have specifically looked at the influence of BMI on outcomes after liver resection.³³⁻³⁶ Unfortunately, these have also generated heterogeneous results.

In 2008, Utsunomiya et al³³ found that obesity did not affect outcomes in 388 patients undergoing liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. However, because of lower prevalence of obesity in Japan, patients in this single-institution retrospective review were categorized as obese if their BMI was greater than 25.

In 2010, Balzan et al³⁴ evaluated postoperative outcomes in 684 patients undergoing liver resection at a single institution. They also found that being overweight (n = 228 [34%]) or obese (n = 87 [14%]) did not affect overall morbidity and mortality. However, both being overweight and being obese were associated with increased risk of major complications (odds ratio = 1.9 [95% CI, 1.2-3.2] and odds ratio = 2.6 [95% CI, 1.2-5.8], respectively). Obese patients were also at higher risk for blood transfusions (odds ratio = 3.3 [95% CI, 1.4-7.9]) and had longer intensive care unit and hospital stays.³⁴

In 2010, Mathur et al³⁵ described 279 patients undergoing liver resection for malignant neoplasms. Outcomes of obese patients (BMI >30) were compared with those of nonobese patients (ie, normal-weight and over-

Table 4. Postoperative Characteristics

Characteristic	BMI Group, % ^a					P Value
	Normal Weight (n = 106)	Overweight (n = 161)	Obese Class 1 (n = 94)	Obese Class 2 (n = 31)	Obese Class 3 (n = 11)	
Mortality	6	5	4	6	27 ^b	.05
NSQIP complications, mean (SEM), No.	0.6 (0.1)	0.6 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	0.4 (0.2)	1.2 (0.7)	.50
≥1 NSQIP complication	26	30	35	19	36	.43
Postoperative stay, mean (SEM), d	13.3 (1.4)	12.5 (1.2)	13.5 (1.2)	8.2 (0.9)	13.5 (1.9)	.49
Return to OR	7	7	9	3	18	.58
Cardiac arrest requiring CPR	3	3	2	0	18	.02
MI	1	0	1	0	0	.83
Coma	1	0	1	0	0	.84
CVA	0	0	1	0	0	.65
Peripheral nerve damage	0	0.6	0	0	0	.65
Requiring blood transfusion of >4 units	5	0.6	2	0	9	.14
DVT	0	1	0	3	0	.44
Systemic sepsis	8	9	13	6	9	.81
Failure to wean	8	7	13	3	27	.11
Pneumonia	7	4	10	3	9	.49
PE	1	0	2	0	0	.53
Reintubation	10	7	4	6	9	.63
Acute renal failure	2	1	3	3	9	.88
Progressive renal failure	2	4	5	0	9	.52
Urinary infection	4	9	2	6	18	.11
Dehiscence	3	2	1	0	0	.18
SSI						
Organ space	5	2	5	0	9	.55
Superficial	4	8	5	3	9	.07
Deep	2	1	3	3	0	.88

Abbreviations: CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; MI, myocardial infarction; NSQIP, National Surgical Quality Improvement Program; OR, operating room; PE, pulmonary embolism; SSI, surgical site infection.

^aThe BMIs for each group are as follows: normal weight, 18.5 to 24.9; overweight, 25.0 to 29.9; obese class 1, 30.0 to 34.9; obese class 2, 35.0 to 39.9; and obese class 3, 40.0 or greater.

^b*P* < .05 compared with the normal-weight BMI group.

weight patients, BMI <30). Compared with nonobese patients, the obese patients (n=97 [35%]) had similar perioperative mortality but increased perioperative morbidity, which included higher rates of bile leak (9.9% vs 18.6%, respectively), urosepsis (7.7% vs 16.5%, respectively), pneumonia (2.2% vs 9.3%, respectively), acute renal failure (1.7% vs 7.2%, respectively), and deep surgical site infections (1.7% vs 7.2%, respectively).³⁵

Mathur et al³⁶ also published in 2010 a retrospective analysis of American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. There were 3960 patients undergoing liver resection, of whom 33.4% were overweight and 31.7% were obese. The mortality rate was 2.5% and the complication rate was 23.3%. After adjusting for underlying conditions and other clinical factors, degree of obesity was independently associated with a slightly increased complication rate (odds ratio=1.24 [95% CI, 1.01-1.55]) but not increased mortality.

None of those studies analyzed the effect of different degrees of obesity on complications. Furthermore, to our knowledge, no investigators have looked at the influence of BMI specifically in a population of veterans undergoing liver resection.

In our study, we found that despite a morbidity rate similar to that of the other BMI groups, extreme obesity (BMI ≥40.0) was independently associated with increased mortality after liver resection. Taken together, our results suggest that when complications do develop

in extremely obese patients, they are likely to be severe and potentially life threatening. Patients with BMI of 40.0 or greater probably have limited functional reserve as a result of underlying obesity-associated conditions. Diabetes was more common among patients with higher BMI but was not a significant independent risk factor for postoperative mortality in our multivariate analysis. Available literature is actually conflicting on the role of diabetes in immediate outcomes after liver resection.³⁷⁻³⁹ Nevertheless, diabetes is usually associated with a significant increase in postoperative morbidity after a major surgical procedure.^{34,38}

Levels of alkaline phosphatase were significantly higher in obese class 3 patients. However, these were not associated with increased mortality in logistic regression.

We also found that amounts of blood transfusions were higher in obese patients. The reasons for bleeding in obese patients are not clear; however, others have reported the same observation.³⁴ It is a common experience that patients with increased BMI require higher intraoperative ventilation pressure.³⁴ This could impair hepatic venous outflow and increase the pressure in the hepatic veins, causing troublesome bleeding not stopped by partial triad clamping.^{34,40,41}

Our study has few limitations, which should be acknowledged.

The group of patients with BMI of 40.0 or greater represented a small percentage of our study population. Given

the low prevalence of specific morbidities, our study was likely underpowered to detect differences between the obese class 3 group and other groups. Increased morbidity in patients with BMI of 40.0 or greater might become apparent in larger-scale studies.

With a sufficient number of extremely obese subjects, a propensity score–matching method could be performed to match obese and control subjects for other variables.⁴² A comparison of matched subjects would better estimate the effect of obesity on mortality.

The VASQIP database lacks data on procedure-specific complications, including liver failure and encephalopathy. This greatly limited our ability to determine the impact of obesity on liver-specific complications. Further, the VASQIP does not record preoperative conditions such as previous ablation treatment, cancer stage, and histological findings, which may potentially affect outcomes. Other limitations common to VASQIP- and American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program–based studies are the lacking data on patient selection, preoperative management, and surgical volume, which may also affect variations in postoperative outcomes.

One of the many factors that are currently not captured by surgical quality improvement databases but could affect outcomes of liver resection is the presence of liver steatosis. Steatosis is not always quantifiable preoperatively unless a liver parenchyma biopsy is performed. Although its incidence is higher in obese patients (25%), steatosis does not universally occur in patients with a high BMI.^{35,43,44}

Kooby et al⁴⁴ have demonstrated that steatosis is associated with greater perioperative complications after liver resection. Of note, in the same study, no correlation could be demonstrated between BMI itself and complication rate.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study examined the influence of various degrees of obesity on short-term outcomes following liver resection in a population of veterans. Our analysis shows that a high degree of obesity (BMI \geq 40.0) is an independent risk factor for higher transfusion rates and increased mortality. This information should be taken into consideration when discussing therapeutic alternatives (eg, ablative procedures) in patients with extreme obesity and liver malignant neoplasms.

Accepted for Publication: October 12, 2011.

Published Online: December 19, 2011. doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1404

Correspondence: Marcovalerio Melis, MD, Department of Surgery, New York Harbor Healthcare System Veterans Affairs Medical Center, New York University School of Medicine, 423 E 23rd St, Room 4153 N, New York, NY 10010 (marcovalerio.melis@nyumc.org).

Author Contributions: *Study concept and design:* Saunders and Melis. *Acquisition of data:* Neihaus and Melis. *Analysis and interpretation of data:* Rosman, Gouge, and Melis. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Saunders, Rosman, Gouge, and Melis. *Critical revision of the manuscript for*

important intellectual content: Saunders, Rosman, Neihaus, Gouge, and Melis. *Statistical analysis:* Rosman. *Administrative, technical, and material support:* Saunders and Neihaus. *Study supervision:* Gouge and Melis.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the US government.

Previous Presentation: This paper was presented at the annual meeting of the American Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association; March 13, 2011; Miami, Florida.

Additional Contributions: The VA Surgical Quality Data Use Group acted as scientific advisors and provided critical review of the data use and analysis presented in this article.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vital signs: state-specific obesity prevalence among adults: United States, 2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2010;59(30):951-955.
- Das SR, Kinsinger LS, Yancy WS Jr, et al. Obesity prevalence among veterans at Veterans Affairs medical facilities. *Am J Prev Med.* 2005;28(3):291-294.
- Melis M, Weber JM, McLoughlin JM, et al. An elevated body mass index does not reduce survival after esophagectomy for cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2011;18(3):824-831.
- Dindo D, Muller MK, Weber M, Clavien PA. Obesity in general elective surgery. *Lancet.* 2003;361(9374):2032-2035.
- Brandt M, Harder K, Walluscheck KP, et al. Severe obesity does not adversely affect perioperative mortality and morbidity in coronary artery bypass surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2001;19(5):662-666.
- Chapman GW Jr, Mailhes JB, Thompson HE. Morbidity in obese and nonobese patients following gynecologic surgery for cancer. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 1988;80(4):417-420.
- Engelman DT, Adams DH, Byrne JG, et al. Impact of body mass index and albumin on morbidity and mortality after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999;118(5):866-873.
- Moulton MJ, Creswell LL, Mackey ME, Cox JL, Rosenbloom M. Obesity is not a risk factor for significant adverse outcomes after cardiac surgery. *Circulation.* 1996;94(9)(suppl):II87-II92.
- Pasulka PS, Bistran BR, Benotti PN, Blackburn GL. The risks of surgery in obese patients. *Ann Intern Med.* 1986;104(4):540-546.
- Shapiro M, Muñoz A, Tager IB, Schoenbaum SC, Polk BF. Risk factors for infection at the operative site after abdominal or vaginal hysterectomy. *N Engl J Med.* 1982;307(27):1661-1666.
- Spicer DD, Schaper LA, Pomeroy DL, et al. Cementless cup fixation in total hip arthroplasty after 5-8 years. *Int Orthop.* 2001;25(5):286-289.
- Filardo G, Hamilton C, Hamman B, Ng HK, Grayburn P. Categorizing BMI may lead to biased results in studies investigating in-hospital mortality after isolated CABG. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2007;60(11):1132-1139.
- Bailey MA, Brunt EM. Hepatocellular carcinoma: predisposing conditions and precursor lesions. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 2002;31(2):641-662.
- El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in USA. *Hepatol Res.* 2007;37(suppl 2):S88-S94.
- Nair S, Mason A, Eason J, Loss G, Perrillo RP. Is obesity an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis? *Hepatology.* 2002;36(1):150-155.
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of US adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348(17):1625-1638.
- Dai Z, Xu YC, Niu L. Obesity and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2007;13(31):4199-4206.
- Dimick JB, Wainess RM, Cowan JA, Upchurch GR Jr, Knol JA, Colletti LM. National trends in the use and outcomes of hepatic resection. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2004;199(1):31-38.
- Dimick JB, Cowan JA Jr, Knol JA, Upchurch GR Jr. Hepatic resection in the United States: indications, outcomes, and hospital procedural volumes from a nationally representative database. *Arch Surg.* 2003;138(2):185-191.
- Lyratzopoulos G, Tyrrell C, Smith P, Yelloly J. Recent trends in liver resection surgery activity and population utilization rates in English regions. *HPB (Oxford).* 2007;9(4):277-280.
- Majeed AW, Price C. Resource and manpower calculations for the provision of hepatobiliary surgical services in the UK. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2004;86(2):91-95.

22. Itani KM. Fifteen years of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program in review. *Am J Surg.* 2009;198(5)(suppl):S9-S18.
23. Best WR, Khuri SF, Phelan M, et al. Identifying patient preoperative risk factors and postoperative adverse events in administrative databases: results from the Department of Veterans Affairs National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2002;194(3):257-266.
24. Atherly A, Fink AS, Campbell DC, et al. Evaluating alternative risk-adjustment strategies for surgery. *Am J Surg.* 2004;188(5):566-570.
25. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* 2000;894:i-xii, 1-253.
26. Moon HG, Ju YT, Jeong CY, et al. Visceral obesity may affect oncologic outcome in patients with colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2008;15(7):1918-1922.
27. Stewart ST, Cutler DM, Rosen AB. Forecasting the effects of obesity and smoking on US life expectancy. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(23):2252-2260.
28. Jin R, Grunkemeier GL, Furnary AP, Handy JR Jr. Is obesity a risk factor for mortality in coronary artery bypass surgery? *Circulation.* 2005;111(25):3359-3365.
29. Pan W, Hindler K, Lee VV, Vaughn WK, Collard CD. Obesity in diabetic patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery is associated with increased postoperative morbidity. *Anesthesiology.* 2006;104(3):441-447.
30. Reeves BC, Ascione R, Chamberlain MH, Angelini GD. Effect of body mass index on early outcomes in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2003;42(4):668-676.
31. Wagner BD, Grunwald GK, Rumsfeld JS, et al. Relationship of body mass index with outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;84(1):10-16.
32. Mullen JT, Moorman DW, Davenport DL. The obesity paradox: body mass index and outcomes in patients undergoing nonbariatric general surgery. *Ann Surg.* 2009;250(1):166-172.
33. Utsunomiya T, Okamoto M, Kameyama T, et al. Impact of obesity on the surgical outcome following repeat hepatic resection in Japanese patients with recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2008;14(10):1553-1558.
34. Balzan S, Nagarajan G, Farges O, et al. Safety of liver resections in obese and overweight patients. *World J Surg.* 2010;34(12):2960-2968.
35. Mathur AK, Ghaferi AA, Sell K, Sonnenday CJ, Englesbe MJ, Welling TH. Influence of body mass index on complications and oncologic outcomes following hepatectomy for malignancy. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2010;14(5):849-857.
36. Mathur AK, Ghaferi AA, Osborne NH, et al. Body mass index and adverse perioperative outcomes following hepatic resection. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2010;14(8):1285-1291.
37. Poon RT, Fan ST, Wong J. Does diabetes mellitus influence the perioperative outcome or long term prognosis after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma? *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(6):1480-1488.
38. Ikeda Y, Shimada M, Hasegawa H, et al. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma with diabetes mellitus after hepatic resection. *Hepatology.* 1998;27(6):1567-1571.
39. Guckelberger O, Thelen A, Benckert C, et al. Diabetes mellitus is no independent risk factor for perioperative mortality following hepatic resection. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes.* 2006;114(5):257-261.
40. Jones RM, Moulton CE, Hardy KJ. Central venous pressure and its effect on blood loss during liver resection. *Br J Surg.* 1998;85(8):1058-1060.
41. Kliewer MA, Hertzberg BS, Heneghan JP, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts (TIPS): effects of respiratory state and patient position on the measurement of Doppler velocities. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2000;175(1):149-152.
42. Adamina M, Guller U, Weber WP, Oertli D. Propensity scores and the surgeon. *Br J Surg.* 2006;93(4):389-394.
43. Ryan CK, Johnson LA, Germin BI, Marcos A. One hundred consecutive hepatic biopsies in the workup of living donors for right lobe liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2002;8(12):1114-1122.
44. Kooby DA, Fong Y, Suriawinata A, et al. Impact of steatosis on perioperative outcome following hepatic resection. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2003;7(8):1034-1044.

INVITED CRITIQUE

ONLINE FIRST

Are Surgeons Rising to the Challenge of Managing Morbidly Obese Patients Undergoing Hepatic Resection?

The article by Saunders et al¹ attempts to address an issue that is frequently confronted in all areas of surgery: what role, if any, does obesity play in surgical outcomes? As surgeons, we know that operations are more challenging, complications more frequent, and diagnosis of postoperative complications more difficult in obese patients and especially in morbidly obese patients. Does obesity make the job of the surgeon more difficult, or does it really impact outcomes? Saunders and colleagues attempt to answer the question for hepatic resections. In their review of 403 liver resections in the VASQIP, 297 patients were overweight or obese (BMI \geq 25.0). The only decrement in survival identified was in the extremely obese group (BMI \geq 40.0; n=11), and the reason for a decrease in survival was from postoperative cardiac arrest. I would categorize this article as a further analysis of the question rather than an answer. It should be noted that the database used is not designed to specifically address hepatic surgery; therefore, no information is available in the database regarding the underlying hepatic disease or quality of the remnant hepatic lobe or segment, and the ability to obtain details on a set of patients is limited. The major conclusion by Saunders and colleagues that extreme obesity is an independent risk factor for mortality secondary to cardiac arrest is countered

by the fact that there were no myocardial infarctions in this group of 11 patients. Can we conclude that the cardiac arrests were a result of noncardiac events such as multiple organ failure from postoperative complications, hemorrhage, and other factors routinely thought of as surgical in nature? The question surgeons really want answered is, how can we optimally manage obese patients with surgical problems of the liver? That question is left open for future studies.

J. Michael Millis, MD

Published Online: December 19, 2011. doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1547

Author Affiliation: Section of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, University of Chicago Transplant Center, Chicago, Illinois.

Correspondence: Dr Millis, Section of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, University of Chicago Transplant Center, 5841 S Maryland Ave, Chicago, IL 60637 (mmillis@surgery.bsd.uchicago.edu).

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

1. Saunders JK, Rosman AS, Neihaus D, Gouge TH, Melis M. Safety of hepatic resections in obese veterans [published online December 19, 2011]. *Arch Surg.* 2012; 147(4):331-337.