Partial Portacaval Shunt for Variceal Hemorrhage

Longitudinal Analysis of Effectiveness

John Craig Collins, MD; M. Jennifer Ong, MD; Eric B. Rypins, MD; I. James Sarfeh, MD

Objective: To determine rates of survival, long-term patency, and recurrent variceal hemorrhage among patients with alcoholic cirrhosis treated by partial portacaval shunt.

Design: Single-institution cohort follow-up study of 72 consecutive patients who underwent small-diameter portacaval H-graft shunt with collateral ablation during a 10-year period (1981 through 1990). Subjects were enrolled and followed up for up to 15 years. Shunt patency was assessed by portography and/or ultrasonography. We performed 7-year Kaplan-Meier analyses of survival (in 65 patients in Child classes A and B), shunt patency, and absence of variceal bleeding.

Setting: Tertiary academic referral center of the US Department of Veterans Affairs.

Patients: Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis were considered for operation after at least 1 proven episode of variceal hemorrhage. Patients with portal vein thrombosis were excluded; patients in Child class C underwent operation only for compelling indications. Of the 72 who underwent partial shunting, 38 were in Child class A, 27 were in class B, and 7 were in class C.

Interventions: Partial portacaval shunt (6-, 8- or 10-mm polytetrafluoroethylene H-graft with collateral ablation) and serial follow-up.

Main Outcome Measures: Study end points were death, recurrent variceal hemorrhage, and unavailability for follow-up. Other measures included graft patency and nonvariceal rebleeding.

Results: Cumulative probability of 7-year patency for grafts at risk was 95%. The 7-year probability for absence of variceal bleeding in patients at risk was 92%. In 65 patients in Child classes A and B, operative mortality was 7.7% and the cumulative probability of 7-year survival was 54%.

Conclusion: For variceal bleeding associated with alcoholic cirrhosis, the small-diameter polytetrafluoroethylene portacaval H-graft with collateral ablation affords durable patency and protection against variceal rebleeding.
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PARTIAL portacaval shunts are designed to control variceal hemorrhage while preserving portal perfusion of the liver. Partial shunting is achieved by means of 8- or 10-mm-diameter polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) portacaval H-grafts with collateral ablation. Several centers and our own randomized trial have validated the hemodynamic advantage of partial shunts, demonstrating lessened encephalopathy compared with shunts that totally divert portal flow. Since the initial studies were of relatively short duration, legitimate questions were raised regarding long-term control of variceal rebleeding and patency of the small-diameter synthetic grafts. This report of our longitudinal follow-up addresses those questions.

Partial shunts are distinct in concept and hemodynamic behavior from selective and total shunts. The theory of the partial shunt is based on the observation that esophageal varices rarely bleed when the pressure gradient between the portal vein and vena cava is less than 12 mm Hg. Therefore, the goal is to partially decompress the entire portal system to attain a pressure below the critical threshold, yet high enough to preserve prograde splanchnic nutrient blood flow to the liver. We strive to achieve collateral substitution, an ideal situation in which multiple Anastomotic channels feeding thin-walled varices are replaced by a single prosthetic conduit that carries the same volume of blood. By this mechanism, the risk of variceal hemorrhage is minimized while portal hemodynamics are not substantially altered.
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PATIENTS AND METHODS

PATIENTS AND STUDY DESIGN

These studies were approved by the Subcommittee on Human Subjects at the Long Beach Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Long Beach, Calif. Patients with portal hypertension caused by alcoholic liver disease in 70 of 72 cases were considered for inclusion after at least 1 endoscopically verified episode of variceal hemorrhage. All patients who underwent 8-mm (n=1), 8-mm (n=35), or 10-mm (n=36) portacaval H-graft shunts from 1981 through 1990 were enrolled. At operation, the 70 men and 2 women had a mean age of 49.6±10.2 years (range, 31-67 years). Distribution according to Child class was as follows: A, 38 patients; B, 27 patients; and C, 7 patients.

PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION

All patients underwent flexible upper endoscopy at least once to demonstrate the presence and extent of varices as well as to exclude other potential sources of gastrointestinal tract bleeding. Superior mesenteric arteriography with venous phase was performed preoperatively in all elective cases to demonstrate patency of the portal vein and to delineate existing portasystemic collateral channels. History and physical examination, with appropriate laboratory studies, were performed.

Patients were assigned to Child class A, B, or C by one of us (I.J.S. or E.B.R.) on the day of operation on the basis of clinical and laboratory data (ie, serum albumin and bilirubin levels, nutritional state, degree of encephalopathy, and degree of ascites) according to the criteria described by Child and Turcotte.\textsuperscript{13}

TECHNIQUE OF OPERATION

The prospective, randomized clinical trial reported in 1994 by Sarfeh and Rypins\textsuperscript{10} compared 14 patients who underwent partial shunts (8-mm–diameter portacaval H-grafts with collateral ablation) with 16 patients who had total shunts (16-mm grafts). Hepatopetal flow was demonstrated in 93% of partial shunts and 0% of total shunts (P<.001). Encephalopathy-free survival was significantly higher in the partial shunt group. No variceal rebleeding occurred in either group. The conclusions were limited by the short duration of follow-up (24-month Kaplan-Meier analysis).

In the present study, we extend our observations to longer-term clinical follow-up of rebleeding in the 72 consecutive patients who underwent partial shunts in the interval 1981 through 1990.

RESULTS

Follow-up to either death or a specified time interval was 100% to 1 year, 90% to 3 years, and 72% to 5 years. Two patients were followed up for 15 years or more. Follow-up portography was obtained on at least 1 occasion in all surviving patients (except one who consented to ultrasonography).

Operative mortality (all causes within 30 days or during the same hospitalization) was 12.5% overall (9 of 72 patients). Among patients in Child classes A and B, operative mortality was 7.7% (5/65); among patients in Child class C, operative mortality was 57% (4/7) (P<.004 by Fisher exact test).

Excluding operative deaths, overall survival within the follow-up period (mean±SD) was 46±40 months. The

Kaplan-Meier life-table analyses of 7-year cumulative probability of survival in patients in Child classes A and B, shunt patency in all patients, and absence of variceal rebleeding in all patients.
cumulative probability of survival for patients in Child classes A and B is shown in the Figure.

Cumulative probability of 7-year patency for grafts at risk was 95% (Figure). Six grafts became occluded by thrombosis perioperatively; all of these achieved long-term secondary patency after catheter-directed infusion of thrombolytic agents to the graft (n=4) or, earlier in the series, operative thrombectomy (n=2). Later thromboses occurred in 3 shunts. One shunt became occluded by ingrowth of hepatocellular carcinoma as demonstrated at autopsy. A second failed after splenectomy. A third thrombosis appeared to be spontaneous.

Four patients had rebleding from varices as demonstrated at esophagoscopy; the cumulative 7-year probability of absence of variceal rebleding for all patients was 92% (Figure). One variceal hemorrhage was associated with shunt thrombosis, 1 with ingrowth of hepatocellular carcinoma occluding the shunt (both mentioned above), and 1 with a narrowed shunt as seen at follow-up portography. One patient experienced a self-limited, spontaneous episode of rebleeding despite a patent shunt. Other causes of upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding included diffuse gastritis or gastropathy in 4 patients and 1 case each of arterial bleeding from gastric ulcer and sclerotherapy-related esophageal ulcer.

This study demonstrates the durability and long-term effectiveness of the small-diameter portacaval H-graft with collateral ablation in the management of variceal hemorrhage. The original development of this operation was met with skepticism on 2 main grounds. First, prior experience with the Dacron mesocaval H-graft, which had been frustrated by frequent thromboses,\textsuperscript{30} suggested that the partial shunt might meet the same fate. Second, it was widely believed that nothing short of total decompression of the portal system would prevent variceal hemorrhage. This longitudinal study, while necessarily limited by some attrition in follow-up, should dispel those concerns.

Some of the differences that, in our experience, account for the greater clinical longevity of the partial shunt compared with earlier mesocaval interposition grafts are as follows:

1. The graft is short and direct.
2. The small diameters promote higher velocity of flow.
3. Expanded PTFE is less thrombogenic than Dacron in our experience.
4. The supporting rings prevent external compression of the graft.
5. The portal vein carries a higher volume of blood flow than the superior mesenteric vein, thus enhancing inflow.
6. Technical nuances, including long anastomotic bevels, everted suture lines, and heparin impregnation of the prosthetic material, made perioperative thromboses increasingly rare and may have aided long-term patency as well.

Given the modern spectrum of medical, interventional, endoscopic, and surgical treatments for variceal hemorrhage, it is impractical for every surgeon to gain experience with all of the varieties of shunt operations. While local expertise will often govern the choice of procedure, the occasional shunt surgeon will naturally gravitate to a proven operation of manageable complexity. The partial shunt offers technical and hemodynamic advantages that recommend its use in good-risk patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. On the basis of these results from the first decade of its clinical application at our institution, we conclude that the partial shunt affords effective and long-lasting relief from variceal hemorrhage.
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COMMENT

DISCUSSION

Jeremiah G. Turcotte, MD, Ann Arbor, Mich: This paper is a landmark contribution. Dr Sarfeh, his colleagues, and his proteges have been studying this shunt for approximately 20 years.
This paper is 1 of several important contributions by Dr Sarfeh and is the definitive publication concerning long-term follow-up in patients with small-diameter portacaval shunts.

Seventy-two consecutive patients undergoing a partial shunt were followed for 7 to 15 years with a graft patency rate of 93%, absence of variceal bleeding of 92%, and a 7-year survival of 54% of Child A and B risk patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. These are excellent results in a very difficult group of patients.

Why does this shunt work? Why is variceal hemorrhage prevented and postshunt encephalopathy minimized? Sarfeh, Rypins, Rosemurgy, and others have studied the mechanisms. A summary is that the shunt diverts some, but not all, of the portal flow. Total nutrient flow, ie, the sum of both the hepatic artery and portal flow, actually increases, thus protecting the liver. Portal flow that perfuses the liver remains about the same. Presumably, the mechanism is that the shunt reduces peripheral resistance in the portal system, allowing total portal inflow to increase. Now there is sufficient inflow to both supply blood to the liver in about the same amount, plus provide additional portal blood for diversion through the shunt. Simultaneously, the pressure in the portal vein and splanchnic system decreases, thus preventing variceal hemorrhages.

Experience has demonstrated that if the pressure gradient between the portal system and the systemic caval system is about 12 mm Hg, variceal hemorrhage will not occur. The identification of this principle of a critical gradient by Sarfeh and his colleagues is probably their most important fundamental contribution, rather than simply the description of a new operation. Other effective partial shunts that achieve this same gradient are the small-diameter mesocaval shunt and the so-called calibrated side-to-side portacaval shunt. These shunts have not been studied as extensively as the partial portacaval shunt of Sarfeh.

I agree with the authors that shunts should be performed more often than they have been in recent years. Shunts are indicated only for Child A and selected Child B patients who bleed despite sclerotherapy and who are unlikely to have a liver transplant in the near future. The results of shunts in these patients are at least equivalent to that of liver transplantation. Moreover, the waiting list for liver transplant has increased over 300% between 1992 and 1996. The number of transplants being performed has only increased about 30%. In 1992 there were 2223 patients awaiting liver transplants, and at the end of 1996, there were 7467 awaiting a liver transplant. Waiting times are now well over a year in many programs. Donor livers should be conserved for patients who do not have any other options. Transectional portosystemic shunts, in its present state of development, has proved to have a high rate of thrombosis and does not seem to be a permanent solution for most patients.

I have some questions for the authors. What do you think of the small-diameter mesocaval and the calibrated side-to-side shunts? Do you have any idea how many of your patients stopped drinking and how many had hepatitis C? Liver transplant programs have the opportunity to follow these people for long periods before they are transplanted. It has become evident that if patients stop drinking, their liver function often improves and they may not need a transplant. Post-shunt abstinence may partially account for the good long-term results in your series.

C. Wright Pinson, MD, Nashville, Tenn: I have 3 questions for the authors. The first concerns a technical issue: why do the authors think they had those few early thromboses? In the number who did thrombose, some of them were repaired operatively and 4 of them received lytic therapy. I am curious about the details of deciding on dealing with it operatively vs lytic therapy, and I am interested in the details of the lytic therapy.

Second, do the authors feel that, for those patients who might potentially be liver transplant candidates, this shunt is equally as good in the mesocaval position as it is in the portacaval position?

Third, regarding documentation of portal flow: would they discuss that briefly?

Dr Sarfeh: Dr Turcotte, you asked about the 2 other types of partial shunts. We do not do the small-diameter mesocaval shunt. This requires a much longer graft. The distance between the mesenteric vein and the vena cava is far greater than the short 3 to 5 cm between the portal vein and vena cava. Second, the portal vein carries more blood flow than the mesenteric vein. The more blood you can get into the shunt, the greater the longevity of the shunt. For these reasons, we have avoided the mesocaval small-diameter graft, but I am aware of the fact that other centers have success with it and we wish them continued success.

In terms of the calibrated direct side-to-side portacaval shunts, we know that direct venovenous anastomoses expand with time as first described by Henri Bismuth. Therefore, they do not maintain their initial resistance. Second, length is an added increment of resistance to our shunt, and that is important in preserving more flow to the liver.

Dr Pinson asked about the early thromboses and operative vs lytic therapy. Initially, we thought these required reoperation. However, our angiographer began to use the method of placing a catheter transvenously through the femoral vein, introducing it into the shunt and injecting low-dose streptokinase into the clot and dissolving it. This obviated the need for reoperating on the patients. Our experience has been that once the perioperative thrombosis is corrected, the graft stays patent for life.

In terms of preparation for liver transplantation, is it better to do a mesocaval shunt? In the portacaval position, Henri Bismuth, who does this operation, has stated that he actually cuts the graft during transplant and uses the end of it as the venovenous bypass. I do not really see that the partial shunt makes transplantation as difficult as some transplanters feel it might. There might not be enough experience to confirm or refute my thoughts on this issue.

Regarding documentation of portal flow, our early studies went into documenting the amount of portal flow postoperatively using clearance techniques with various first-pass substances and also using macroaggregated albumin injected into the liver via the shunt. These studies all showed continued hepatic portal perfusion postoperatively.

Finally, Dr Turcotte, it is difficult for me to ascertain how many of our patients have stopped drinking. I do have the impression, however, that most of our patients surviving beyond 7 years are abstinent. We had not tested routinely for hepatitis C.