Implications and Cost of Pancreatic Leak Following Distal Pancreatic Resection
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Objectives: Pancreatic stump leak (PL) after elective distal pancreatic resection significantly impacts cost and increases subsequent health care resource utilization. We sought to provide an economic framework for potential interventions aimed at reducing its occurrence.

Design: Retrospective case series and economic evaluation.

Setting: University-affiliated, tertiary care referral center.

Patients: Sixty-six patients undergoing elective distal pancreatectomy.

Main Outcome Measures: Postoperative complications; hospital and professional costs.

Results: Overall postoperative morbidity occurred in 34 patients (52%) with no deaths. The total number of patients with complications directly related to PL was 22 (33%). The mean±SD number of total hospital days for the no-PL group was 5.2±1.7 days (range, 3-12 days) vs 16.6±14.6 days (range, 4-49 days) for the PL group (P=.001). The average patient with PL-related problems incurred a total cost that was 2.01 times greater than the average patient in the no-PL group. A decision analytic model developed to evaluate threshold costs showed that a hypothetical intervention designed to reduce the complication rate of distal pancreatectomy by one third would be financially justifiable up to a cost of $1418 per patient.

Conclusions: Complications derived from PL following distal pancreatectomy double the cost and dramatically increase health care resource utilization. There is an urgent need to develop strategies that reduce the incidence of this common complication. Interventions aimed at decreasing the incidence of PL should take into account this cost differential. We provide an economic model to serve as a guide for developing these technologies.
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Despite overall improvements in morbidity following pancreatic resection, leakage from the pancreatic stump (PL) following distal pancreatectomy remains problematic.1 Its reported incidence varies markedly in the surgical literature from 0% to 64%.2 This large variability is in part attributed to the lack of standardized definitions applied to PL and to whether fistula is combined with sterile and infected collections or other wound problems that are also a consequence of postoperative PL.3,4 Sequelae from PL following distal resection have a wide spectrum. In the best-case scenario, a patient who develops a PL following distal pancreatectomy is identified early after surgery and will have a controlled fistula through a closed-suction drain placed intraoperatively. Typically, this is managed as an outpatient without need for further intervention. Other patients, however, will develop collections or wound complications related to a PL. These often require emergency department visits, hospital readmissions, radiology-guided percutaneous drainage, prolonged parenteral antibiotic therapy, radiological surveillance, and multiple postoperative office visits. The goals of this study were to quantify the impact of PL in terms of cost and hospital resource utilization, and to provide an economic framework for potential interventions aimed at reducing this event. We hypothesize that PL after elective distal pancreatic resection significantly impacts cost and increases subsequent health care resource utilization.

Methods

The study was approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital Institutional Review Board. Hospital records of 109 patients who underwent distal pancreatic resections at Massachusetts General Hospital from January 1, 2002, through August 31, 2004, were identified from a prospectively entered pancreatic surgery da-
Table. Diagnoses in a Cohort of 66 Patients Undergoing Elective Distal Pancreatectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. (%) of Patients*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous cystic neoplasm</td>
<td>19 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With cancer</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor</td>
<td>14 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>10 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With cancer</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis/pseudocyst</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous cystadenoma</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid pseudopapillary tumor</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.
†Metastatic renal and adrenal cancer, sarcoma, and dermoid cyst.

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

The cohort included 25 men (38%) and 41 women (62%) with a mean age of 59 years (range, 21-85 years). Twenty-eight patients (42%) had at least 1 comorbid factor at the time of surgery. Elective distal pancreatic resections were performed for malignant pathology in 16 patients (24%) and for benign conditions in 50 (76%). Diagnoses are described in the Table.

Operations were performed with a uniform technique. The pancreas was routinely transected with electrocautery. The pancreatic duct was ligated if identified (35 cases [53%]), and the stump was closed with silk sutures. In only 7 cases (11%), a reticulating stapler (TA-33; United States Surgical Corp, Norwalk, Conn) was used to divide the pancreas. A closed-suction drain (Jackson-Pratt) was left in the vicinity of the pancreatic stump. Splenic preservation, achieved by ligation of the splenic artery and vein and relying on collateral circulation from the short gastric vessels, was performed whenever possible in benign lesions (24 patients [36%]). There were no laparoscopic resections in this cohort.

DEFINITIONS

The patients’ clinical courses were reviewed in hospital and clinic charts. Complications identified as being directly or indirectly related to PL were categorized according to the following criteria: (1) Pancreatic fistula was defined as a daily output of at least 30 mL of amylase-rich fluid (3 times the serum concentration) from the surgically placed drain after postoperative day 5. Typically, these patients were discharged home with the drain in place; the drain was gradually withdrawn and removed when the output decreased. Somatostatin was not administered. (2) A sterile collection was defined as a 3×3-cm or greater accumulation of fluid identified radiologically and prompting interventional radiology drainage that yielded amylase-rich fluid. These patients generally presented with pain and occasionally fever during or after their initial hospitalization. (3) An abscess was defined as a collection of fluid that, on aspiration and culture, grew bacteria. Although the amylase concentration was not measured in all cases of abscess, they were considered results of PL. These patients usually presented with fever, pain, and leukocytosis after discharge. (4) A wound disruption was considered an indirect complication of a PL when ongoing drainage of thick fluid through the incision was present and the patient also had a documented pancreatic fistula, collection, or abscess. Other complications not related to PL were also recorded.

COSTS

Hospital and professional costs were analyzed separately. Total net hospital costs were determined using an accounting database (Eclipsys Corporation, Boca Raton, Fla), which is institutional software that generates direct and indirect costs for the hospital. Institutional policy precludes disclosure of specific costs; therefore, only relative differences or ratios are presented. Costs included those of readmissions and emergency department visits. Professional costs were calculated by averaging reimbursements across all insurers. These included radiologist and anesthesiologist as well as surgeon reimbursements, since these constituted the bulk of the professional costs. Other consultant costs were not calculated. Once average per-patient costs were calculated for both the PL and no-PL groups, a cost differential was obtained. A decision analytic model was then developed to evaluate threshold costs of hypothetical distal pancreatectomy interventions that would result in an absolute reduction in the proportion of patients with PL.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Results are presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise specified. The χ² test was used to compare categorical variables, and the 2-sample t test, continuous variables. P<.05 was considered statistically significant. Analysis was performed with SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill).

RESULTS

Overall, morbidity occurred in 34 patients (52%) following distal pancreatectomy. Of these, 22 (33% of the cohort) had complications attributed to PL. The distribution of PL-related complications is further illustrated in Figure 1. The most common presentation of PL was a fistula, which occurred in 13 (39%) of the 22 patients. A sterile collection was documented in 9 patients (41%) and an abscess in 7 (32%). There was considerable overlap, with many of the PLs manifesting as both a fistula and a sterile collection, or a fistula and an abscess. Five patients (23%) had wound disruption attributed to PL.
and all of these had either an abscess or a fistula as well. Other complications not considered directly related to a PL are listed in the following tabulation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New-onset diabetes mellitus, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency, myocardial infarction, delirium, and cardiac arrhythmia.

Three patients (5%) required a second surgical procedure. In the PL group, a patient who underwent concomitant splenectomy was reexplored at 90 days for persistent intra-abdominal abscess. Another, who had splenic preservation, underwent reexploration at 3.5 months for splenic infarct and persistent collection in the splenic hilum. A third patient, in the no-PL group, who had undergone splenic preservation, underwent reexploration for presumed splenic infarct but required no further intervention. No patient required operative repair for pancreatic fistula. There were no deaths.

HEALTH CARE RESOURCE UTILIZATION

Sixteen of the 22 patients in the PL group (73%) required readmission for a mean of 13.8 hospital days (range, 1-38 days). The average number of readmissions in this group was 1.7 (range, 1-5 readmissions). The mean number of total hospital days (including readmissions) for the no-PL group was 5.2±1.7 days (range, 3-12 days) vs 16.6±14.6 days (range, 4-49 days) for the PL group (P=.001). Of the patients with PL, 13 (59%) visited the emergency department after discharge an average of 1.8 times (range, 1-6 times). Twelve patients in the PL group (55%) required interventional radiology drainage (mean, 1.1 procedures [range, 0-5 procedures]). They also had an average of 4.6 computed tomographic scans per patient following resection (range, 0-15 scans). Fifteen (68%) of the patients in the PL group received at least 1 course of broad-spectrum antibiotics for an average of 12.6 days (range, 7-24 days).

Patients with PL required a mean of 4.9 postoperative office visits (range, 1-20 visits) and a median follow-up of 69.5 days (range, 14-347 days), and 18 patients (82%) required visiting nurse services for their postoperative care. By comparison, the no-PL group required 1.6 postoperative office visits (range, 1-6 visits) and a median follow-up of 16.0 days (range, 8-128 days), and only 3 patients (7%) required visiting nurse services (Figure 2).

COSTS

The average patient with PL-related problems incurred a total cost that was 2.01 times greater than the average patient in the no-PL group. This yielded a cost differential of $14 179, which was largely accounted for by hospital-related costs. The difference in physician reimbursement between the PL and no-PL group was 24%; however, this represents less than 3% of the cost differential. The difference in hospital-related costs between the 2 groups was 122%.

Figure 2. Health care resource utilization following distal pancreatectomy in 44 patients without and 22 with pancreatic stump leak (PL). All comparisons were significant (P<.001). A, Mean number of emergency department visits and hospital readmissions. B, Mean number of postoperative computed tomographic (CT) scans and office visits. C, Mean total number of hospital days and duration of follow-up.

The decision analytic model was constructed from 2 surgical strategies: conventional surgery and surgery using a hypothetical new intervention intended to reduce the rate of PL. In the conventional surgery arm, it was assumed that 30% of patients would develop PL. In the hypothetical new intervention arm, it was assumed that PL would occur at a lower rate (with an absolute PL rate re-
duction equal to \( r \) but that the intervention would incur an added cost (CI). The following equation was derived by using the previously mentioned cost differential ($14 179), and its application is illustrated in Figure 3:

\[
CI = r \times 14,179.
\]

This equation demonstrates the intervention cost threshold below which cost savings are incurred at an absolute PL rate reduction of \( r \). For example, if an intervention reduced the PL rate from 30% to 20%, then up to a $14,18 per-patient expenditure (calculated from 0.1 \times 14,179) would still favor the new intervention as a cost-saving strategy. Alternatively, an intervention that reduced PLs to 0% could cost up to $42,54 (calculated from 0.3 \times 14,179) and still be economically justified.

**COMMENT**

This contemporary series of patients undergoing open distal pancreatectomy shows that complications derived from PL are frequent (33%). This seemingly large number is in part a result of our zeal to be comprehensive by including (in addition to pancreatic fistula) amylase-rich sterile collections, abscesses, and wound disruptions. Most surgeons would agree that these complications are all manifestations of the same underlying problem. Had we included pancreatic fistula alone, we undoubtedly would have underestimated the magnitude of the problem. The PL rate in this study is consistent with those in other large series that use rigorous definitions when describing complications derived from PL. A well-designed, prospective, multicenter trial in the United States found that, of a subset of 52 patients who underwent distal pancreatectomy, 18 (34.5%) developed PL-related complications. In a more recent large European study, Balzano et al reported a fistula and abscess rate of 38.6% in a series of 123 distal pancreatic resections. The results are not limited to open surgery: another multicenter European study involving laparoscopic pancreatic resections found that, in a subset of 98 patients who underwent distal pancreatectomy (with or without splenic preservation), 32 (32.7%) developed PL-related complications.

The aim of our study was not to identify the risk factors for developing PL or to describe methods to prevent its occurrence but rather to highlight the impact of PL on cost and hospital resource utilization. To our knowledge, this is the first study that attempts to quantify this by using specific patient-derived data. We found that, in some cases, patients have virtually no consequence resulting from their PL other than the discomfort of maintaining a surgically placed drain for several days following surgery. However, many others endure a difficult and prolonged postoperative course. We have demonstrated that when these patients are considered in aggregate they have a significant impact on health care resource utilization and cost. Strikingly, nearly 75% of these patients required at least 1 hospital readmission, and the average hospital stay (including readmission days) for a patient with a complication resulting from PL increased more than 3-fold. These patients, on average, had more than 4 postoperative diagnostic computed tomographic scans per patient and required a mean follow-up with their surgeon of nearly 2½ months. When calculating these figures, we did not even broach the subject of emotional and psychological duress or the overall deterioration in quality of life these patients endure—the “intangible” consequences of postoperative morbidity.

Not surprisingly, all this health care resource consumption resulted in a marked increase in cost. By using hospital software and average insurer reimbursement for physician services, we determined that a patient with PL more than doubled the cost of distal pancreatectomy, and that this increase was mostly from hospital-related complications. Our analysis did not include various indirect costs, such as home care, rehabilitation, loss of work, or frequent transportation to and from the hospital.

A recent publication appropriately describes PL following distal pancreatectomy as an “unsolved prob-
lem.” It is troubling that the reported incidence of PL has not changed for at least 15 years, despite progress in other areas of pancreatic surgery.14,15 Many studies2,11-15 (most of them retrospective and observational) have focused on technical aspects of the operation, addressing whether adhesives, staplers, suturing techniques, or combinations of these can be used to avoid PL; however, evidence supporting the benefit of any one technique over another is not conclusive.

Others6,10,16-23 have approached this problem from a pharmacologic perspective, using somatostatin or its analogues prophylactically with the intent of preventing PL-related complications. Their results are conflicting. An industry-sponsored cost-effectiveness analysis24 using data from those studies declared that the added expense of octreotide was justified in all pancreatic surgery. However, the central underlying assumption that octreotide is effective remains highly controversial.19 The effectiveness data in their analysis were derived exclusively from 4 positive randomized controlled trials10,21-23 and did not include 4 subsequent randomized controlled trials6,18-20 that found no statistically significant difference in the postoperative course between groups treated with somatostatin analogues and control subjects.

Continuing efforts to find solutions to this far-too-common complication are clearly needed. In the present study we provide an economic framework to justify the investment in potential interventions designed to reduce the incidence of PL. While cost is not the only important criterion in making decisions concerning resource allocation, it is an important consideration when designing interventions to improve on existing practices.25 Our data, based on real direct and indirect hospital costs and physician reimbursement, demonstrate that a seemingly high additional expenditure of $1418 per patient would balance savings related to a mere 10% decrease in PL rate (from 30% to 20%) at our institution. A fully effective technique or application that would abolish PL could cost up to $4254 and still have a positive economic impact on the overall care of patients undergoing distal pancreatectomy. Needless to say, the ramifications of such a breakthrough would go well beyond economics by reducing the suffering of patients and their families, lessening the workload of health care providers, and opening hospital resources for patients with other health problems. We are hopeful these data will stimulate surgeons to come up with new strategies to address this common complication.
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Erwin Hirsch, MD, Boston, Mass: I was privileged to listen to Dr Rodriguez’s presentation at Tufts a few months ago, and he looked rather surprised when we announced he was the winner. There were a number of questions that we wanted to ask then that time did not allow. In the intervening months I was troubled and challenged by this excellent presentation bringing a subject from a very different point of view.

Right before his presentation, we had a patient with a distal pancreatectomy for trauma and, of course, he developed a leak and we all suffered through that. Since then we have had others. My question, months later, is that now you have a unique patient population in an institution that does a lot of pancreatic surgery.

If I remember correctly from the questions we asked the last time, all these cases were done by 2 surgeons. Have you considered a randomized prospective study in which patients will have ERCP [endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography] prior to [surgery] and [that will] provide proximal drainage from the pancreas to minimize the possibility of a postoperative leak?

Dr Rodriguez: Actually, we have not talked about doing preoperative ERCPs in an effort to decrease the incidence of postoperative leak after this operation, but it does seem like an interesting idea. Certainly, when considering the addition of a preoperative intervention to prevent a potential postoperative complication, it is important to evaluate the risks inherent to that procedure as well, and also the additional costs that patients would incur. Currently, what we are doing is using an autologous patch in our patients taken from the falciform ligament and securing it to the pancreatic remnant in an effort to reduce the incidence of leak. We haven’t published these results yet, but the preliminary results seem to be favorable. I hope to report on those soon.

Ronald Salem, MD, New Haven, Conn: I applaud your looking at the financial implications of our surgical complications in such a rigorous way. You’ve shown, as have others, that pancreatic fistula from distal pancreatectomy is higher than from pancreaticoduodenectomy. In evaluating those patients who did not get fistulas and comparing them with those who did, were you able to identify subsets in whom pancreatic fistulas were more common? In reviewing your experience, other than what you’ve just mentioned, were you able to identify any other techniques that allowed the incidence of fistula to be minimized?

Dr Rodriguez: Unfortunately, we did not make those comparisons in this particular study, as identifying risk factors for leak, including technical factors, was not our primary focus.