Residual Splenic Function After Laparoscopic Splenectomy

A Clinical Concern
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**Objective:** To document the existence of residual splenic function after laparoscopic splenectomy in a series of 48 patients.

**Design:** A noncomparative descriptive case series.

**Setting:** A tertiary care center.

**Patients:** A series of 9 patients without clinical improvement after laparoscopic splenectomy of 48 consecutive patients undergoing laparoscopic splenectomy for several hematologic disorders after a mean follow-up of 16 months (range, 1-40 months).

**Interventions:** A computed tomographic scan and technetium Tc 99m sodium pertechnetate heat-damaged red blood cell scintigraphy were performed for patients with partial (platelet count <100×10^9/L) or total (platelet count <50×10^9/L) failure of improvement.

**Main Outcome Measure:** Evidence of residual splenic tissue by image diagnosis.

**Results:** The condition of 9 of the 48 patients failed to improve after laparoscopic splenectomy. Six patients experienced a total failure of improvement and 3 experienced a partial failure of improvement (1 patient had human immunodeficiency virus–related thrombocytopenia and 8 had idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura). Three patients had residual splenic function, which was revealed by scintigraphy. The results of a computed tomographic scan showed an accessory spleen in one patient and splenic implants in splenic fossa in another patient.

**Conclusion:** Laparoscopic splenectomy has a promising role in the management of hematologic diseases requiring splenectomy, but it requires exquisite care to avoid parenchymal rupture and cell spillage and to avoid leaving accessory spleens, which can lead to the failure of surgical treatment.
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LAPAROSCOPIC splenectomy (LS) has become a useful alternative to open splenectomy, offering the advantages (less pain and fewer scars) of laparoscopic surgery. However, there is no wide experience with this technically demanding operation; as with other laparoscopic procedures, new clinical situations appear after the application of laparoscopic techniques. Clinical success after splenectomy is related to the complete removal of splenic tissue. However, there are some theoretical risks during laparoscopy of inducing splenic tissue spillage or of leaving unidentified accessory spleens (ASs). It is well known that splenic tissue can implant and grow into the peritoneal cavity. However, manipulation and retrieval of solid organs during laparoscopy can be difficult; also, the intra-abdominal bag can break during spleen morcellation, with a risk of splenic tissue spillage. Accessory spleens are present in more than 15% of the population; if they are left or not identified, they can induce relapsing disease.

Some previous reports have suggested a more difficult identification of ASs during LS. This article documents residual splenic function in 3 patients of a series of 48 patients in whom LS was performed.

**RESULTS**

Between February 1992 and October 1996, 48 LSs were performed. The demographic and operative variables can be
PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between February 1992 and October 1996, 48 consecutive patients with a wide range of hematologic diseases requiring splenectomy underwent a laparoscopic approach; the clinical data and technical details were prospectively recorded. Laparoscopic splenectomy was performed via an anterior approach in 13 patients and via a lateral approach in the remaining 35 patients. Both techniques have been previously described elsewhere.15,16 In all cases, ASs were sought routinely after opening the omental pouch. The spleen was retrieved in a bag or, in selected cases, through an accessory incision to obtain an intact organ or because of the size of the spleen. Early and late responses to splenectomy were assessed with clinical and analytic examinations. The conditions of patients in whom there was a late failure to improve after LS were reevaluated with technetium 99m sodium pertechnetate heat-damaged red blood cell scintigraphy (LFOV 609 γ camera, high-resolution collimator, Elscint, Haifa, Israel) and computed tomographic (CT) scanning.

Early failure is defined as the inability to normalize the platelet count during the first week after LS. Late failure is defined as the decline of the platelet count below 100×10^9/L (partial failure) or below 50×10^9/L (complete failure) after a transient increase (>200×10^9/L).

The platelet count of the 32 patients in whom an AS was performed for the treatment of autoimmune thrombocytopenia ranged between 5×10^9/L and 102×10^9/L. The platelet count normalized within 48 hours of the operation in 29 of the 32 patients with a low platelet count.

After a mean follow-up of 18 months, the hematologic status of 39 (81%) of the 48 patients improved; however, 9 patients had a low platelet count (1 patient with human immunodeficiency virus–related thrombocytopenia and 8 patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura). There were 6 total failures and 3 partial failures. All 9 patients were assessed with scintigraphy and CT scanning. Scintigraphy showed residual splenic function in 3 patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (Figure 1 through Figure 3 and Table 1). Two corresponded to a hot-spotted image that the CT scan confirmed as an AS. In one of these patients, another AS had been retrieved during a former LS. The residual spleen was located in a pararenal area. Scintigraphy showed multiple images in the splenic fossa in a third patient; a CT scan confirmed these images as implants. This patient was one of the patients converted to open surgery because of the difficulty in handling the spleen during LS. A previous rupture of the bag did not occur during spleen retrieval in any of these patients. The platelet counts in 2 of these patients were between 70×10^9/L and 100×10^9/L without associated treatment; an observation policy was established for these patients. The third patient refused further explorations or surgery.

**COMMENT**

Advances in laparoscopic surgical techniques have favored a laparoscopic approach for splenectomy.13-26 However, surgical laparoscopy entails different features from open surgery. After the wide application of laparoscopy in other intra-abdominal surgical diseases, which include the treatment of known (colon) or unsuspected (gallbladder) tumors, a higher incidence of early recurrence has been observed at the site ports or at the site of abdominal dissemination.27 Some recent experimental studies suggest that the pneumoperitoneum is able to disseminate free abdominal malignant cells.28,29 It is well known from experimental and clinical studies that spleen tissue possesses a great facility to...
implant, even when it is removed after trauma. Laparoscopic splenectomy is a technically demanding procedure associated with a notably longer operative time than open splenectomy. The spleen parenchyma is frail; during LS, the spleen is mobilized and tears or parenchymal bleeding can occur. There may be a theoretical high risk of splenosis. One of the patients (patient 2) described in this series had several implants in the splenic fossa. These implants may have been secondary to rupture of the spleen capsula, although the patient was converted to open surgery and major breaches were not observed. Another situation in which spleen tissue can be spilled is during morcellation and retrieval if the bag is broken; however, none of the 4 patients in whom the bag was broken had residual splenic tissue.

Accessory spleens are frequently observed (10%-30%), and the incidence in open series is higher than that reported in laparoscopic series (Table 2). Accessory spleens can be located in many sites (splenic hilum to scrotum) and are sometimes surrounded by fatty tissue that impairs their visualization. When left in situ, they can prevent the resolution of hematologic disease, mainly in patients with autoimmune thrombocytopenia. Gigot et al described the increased difficulty in locating such accessory organs after the impossibility of finding an AS previously demonstrated by CT scanning. The experience in our series shows that ASs were not iden-
The excision of residual ASs is followed by a clinical response of 20% to 60%; laparoscopic identification and removal has been reported.30 In our patients, because of the small size of the residual splenic tissue and the clinical improvement of 2 patients (platelet counts ranging between 70×10^9/L and 100×10^9/L), an observation policy was followed. A third patient refused further surgery.

Laparoscopic splenectomy has a promising role in the management of hematologic diseases requiring splenectomy, but it requires exquisite care to avoid parenchymal rupture and cell spillage and to avoid leaving ASs, which can induce the failure of surgical treatment.
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Objective.—To develop an objective method for assessing organ dysfunction among intensive care unit (ICU) patients on the first day of the ICU stay.

Design and Setting.—Physiological variables defined dysfunction in 6 organ systems. Logistic regression techniques were used to determine severity levels and relative weights for the Logistic Organ Dysfunction (LOD) score and for conversion of the LOD score to a probability of mortality.

Patients.—A total of 13,152 consecutive admissions to 137 adult medical/surgical ICUs in 12 countries from the European/North American Study of Severity Systems.

Outcome Measure.—Patient vital status at hospital discharge.

Results.—The LOD System identified from 1 to 3 levels of organ dysfunction for 6 organ systems: neurologic, cardiovascular, renal, pulmonary, hematologic, and hepatic. From 1 to 5 LOD points were assigned to the levels of severity, and the resulting LOD scores ranged from 0 to 22 points. Model calibration was very good in the developmental and validation samples (P=.21 and P=.50, respectively), as was model discrimination (area under the receiver operating characteristic curves of 0.843 and 0.850, respectively).

Conclusion.—The LOD System provides an objective tool for assessing severity levels for organ dysfunction in the ICU, a critical component in the conduct of clinical trials. Neurologic, cardiovascular, and renal dysfunction were the most severe organ dysfunctions, followed by pulmonary and hematologic dysfunction, with hepatic dysfunction the least severe. The LOD System takes into account both the relative severity among organ systems and the degree of severity within an organ system. JAMA. 1996;276:802-810
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