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Objective: To evaluate the incidence of complications in comparison with the benefits of tracheostomy in young pediatric burn patients (newborn to 3 years old).

Design: Retrospective survey.

Setting: Tertiary care burn center.

Patients: A total of 1549 consecutive pediatric burn patients, of whom 180 were intubated.

Interventions: Tracheostomy was performed in 76 children.

Main Outcome Measures: Duration of mechanical ventilation, mortality, respiratory complications, airway complications, and condition of the airway at discharge from the hospital.

Results: Seventy-six patients required tracheostomy. Their mean burn size was 34% total body surface area and mean length of stay in the hospital was 56 days. There were no perioperative complications. Eight patients (10%) could not be decannulated because of airway obstruction. Five of these outgrew their obstruction, 2 required surgery, and 1 continues to be evaluated for laryngeal reconstruction.

Conclusion: Pediatric tracheostomy can be performed safely with no perioperative complications and acceptable chronic morbidity.
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AIRWAY CONTROL and mechanical ventilation are often necessary in the treatment of severe burn injuries. Maintenance of the airway in young pediatric burn patients is initially by endotracheal intubation, with tracheostomy usually reserved for those requiring long-term ventilation. There have been numerous studies reviewing the identified complications of endotracheal intubation and tracheostomy in the pediatric burn patient.1-12 This reported incidence of short- and long-term airway complications associated with tracheostomy prompted this review of our experience with these difficult-to-manage patients admitted to our burn intensive care unit.

RESULTS

Indications for endotracheal intubation included the following: prevention of early airway obstruction, 92 patients (52%); need for mechanical ventilation, 36 patients (20%); respiratory distress syndrome, 24 patients (13%); late sepsis, 12 patients (7%); and other, 16 patients (8%). Time of intubation was before admission for 57 patients (32%), in the emergency department for 67 patients (37%), and during the first postburn day for 32 patients (18%). The remaining 24 patients (13%) were intubated after the first postburn day. Six patients were reintubated prior to tracheostomy. Sixty patients had a significant endotracheal tube complication.

One hundred four patients who were intubated did not require a tracheostomy. The TBSA of the 79 patients who survived was 29.0% ± 1.4% and 50.0% ± 1.5% for the 25 who died. The mean duration of intubation for those who survived and those who died was 6.3 ± 1.9 days (range, 1-20 days) and 2.5 ± 3.3 days (1-15 days), respectively. Three deaths were directly related to loss of airway. Ten patients died on the first day, with a total of 14 patients dying within the first 3 days.

The 76 patients requiring tracheostomy had a mean burn size of 34.9% ± 2.2% TBSA (range, 1%-90%) with a mean full-thickness burn of 18.6% TBSA. Mean age was 1.5 ± 0.1 years (median, 1.4 years). There were 20 patients younger than 1 year, 31 were 1 year old, 18 were 2 years old, and 7 were 3 years old. The male-female ratio was 1.5:1, the same as the rest of this population. Thirty-four of these patients had burns of the neck and 52 had facial burns. Twenty-five patients had a clinically significant smoke inhalation injury, defined as a requirement of constant mechanical ventilation for more than 96 hours, an alveolar-arterial gradient greater than 250, the presence of diffuse interstitial infiltrates, and a history of being trapped in a smoke-filled space. Results of bronchoscopy confirmed inhalation injury in 12 patients. Performance of
MATERIALS AND METHODS

A computerized database was used to evaluate the pediatric burn population of a tertiary care burn center. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. From January 1982 to October 1997, 1549 children (newborn to 3 years of age) were admitted to the Burn Center at Parkland Memorial Hospital, Dallas, Tex. The 1549 patients admitted had a mean total body surface area (TBSA) burn of 11.7% ± 0.3%; 382 patients were admitted to the intensive care unit, with a mean TBSA of 25.3% ± 1.1%; and 180 patients were intubated, with a mean TBSA of 31.1% ± 1.4%.

Problems of endotracheal intubation in the young pediatric burn patient relate primarily to the difficulties related to securing the endotracheal tube and achieving adequate pulmonary toilet. Accidental extubation and tube displacement, especially during transport and dressing changes, are continued risks. This can only be partially obviated by the use of specialized securing devices, which increase the difficulty of facial burn care and performance of oral toilet. The flexibility of the tube, short insertion distance beyond the cords, lack of a cuff, and neck mobility make tube malposition a recurring problem. Other risk factors for accidental extubation have been reported to be lack of sedation or neuromuscular blockade, performance of procedures, and patient age younger than 3 years.13 Even with neuromuscular blockade or adequate sedation, these patients continue to have significant risk for accidental extubation. Rates of accidental extubation have been reported to range from 0.92 to 2.31 per 100 intubated days. This translates to a significant...
risk in the burn patient who is intubated for a considerable time and requires manipulation for 2 to 3 dressing changes per day. Difficulty in reintubation because of swelling makes the risk of a disastrous outcome much worse than in the usual pediatric patient receiving mechanical ventilation. Intubation of a patient with face and neck burns after adequate fluid resuscitation is often impossible because of airway edema. The relatively short distance from the clavicles to the carina in these children presents additional risk of extubation even when the patient is adequately sedated and paralyzed. Turning the patient's head or flexing the neck can cause the uncuffed tube to be dislodged,14 even when using adequate fixation of the tube itself. Increased pulmonary sepsis associated with tracheostomy has been reported. In our series, there were more children with pneumonias in the tracheostomy group (45 of 76) than in the intubation-only group (28 of 104). However, the presence of deteriorating pulmonary status and pneumonia were the primary indicators of the need for tracheostomy. No cases were identified in the tracheostomy group in which pneumonia was made worse by tracheostomy. There were no untoward effects on pulmonary status caused by placement of the tracheostomy,7,15 with most patients improving dramatically within the first day after placement. Those whose condition did not immediately improve had their rate of deterioration markedly slowed, followed by subsequent improvement in their pulmonary status. The small internal diameter of the endotracheal tube coupled with long length contribute to difficulty in obtaining adequate pulmonary toilet. The incidence of mucous plugging16 that led directly to 2 of the emergency tracheostomies was decreased and therapeutic intervention was of less risk when plugging occurred. Changing of the tracheostomy tube can be performed without morbidity if a mucous plug should occur. There is also a decrease in dead space ventilation as compared with a standard endotracheal tube. The high incidence of supraglottic stenosis reflects burn swelling and endotracheal tube presence as the tracheostomy was below the level of stenosis. Inhalation injury was not a risk factor for stenosis,9,17 although placement of a large tube through the edematous upper airway carries risk of further airway damage. Tracheostomy in young burn patients is a safe procedure that virtually eliminates the risk of accidental decannulation. In our experience, tracheostomy can be performed safely with no acute complications and acceptable chronic morbidity.
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DISCUSSION

David M. Heimbach, MD, Seattle, Wash: Conventional wisdom dictates oral or nasal-tracheal intubation with a soft, noncuffed tube for acute airway management, and for ventilatory support for smoke inhalation, extreme hypermetabolism, or pneumonia. This intubation is generally converted to a tracheostomy when ventilatory support is prolonged beyond 2 weeks, when pulmonary toilet is inadequate, or following recurring accidental extubation, or for emergency airway access if endotracheal intubation cannot be accomplished.

Being conventionally wise surgeons, the authors converted endotracheal tubes to tracheostomy for essentially the same reasons. The difference seems to be a lower threshold for doing so, as fully 40% of all of their intubated children ended up with tracheostomies. Some of their concern probably stems from a third of their patients having “significant” tube-related complications (although we are not told what they were, other than that 3 patients died of airway catastrophes). Even more remarkable is that half of the patients requiring tracheostomy had scald burns—burns usually associated with neither airway nor pulmonary complications unless the burns are massive.

Nevertheless, this is a large series of tracheostomies in burned children with the lowest acute complication rate reported. There were no deaths attributable to airway complications and no accidental decannulations. However, in the longer term, about 10% of the children needed prolonged cannulation for tracheal stenosis or webs.

The reluctance of most burn surgeons to convert endotracheal tubes to tracheostomy comes from a previously reported high rate of tracheostomy complications. Ten years ago the senior authors themselves urged caution in using tracheo-
ostomy as they had a 10% serious complication rate including tracheomalacia, TEF, TIF, and tracheal stenosis. Concurrently, at past president Pruitt's military burn unit, a 20% late stenosis rate was found. A couple of years later, Jones (1989) reported 28 of 99 patients having the same late sequela.

In our burn unit, we have been reluctant to convert to tracheostomy based on a very low long-term complication rate from continued endotracheal intubation, although we are always afraid of accidental extubation, the most common potentially disastrous complication. Endoscopy of intubated patients universally reveals ulcerations of the cords starting within 3 to 5 days. However, the tube acts as a stent and by about 2 weeks, the ulceration heals by fibrosis. After extubation, transient hoarseness is universal, and sometimes swallowing is impaired for a week or two, but long-term complications have been limited to 2 cases (in adults) of tracheomalacia.

With this in mind, I would ask the authors to address the following questions: (1) To what do you attribute your best-ever reported complication rate from tracheostomy? This, although the present study overlapped by 4 years their previous report, which detailed far more complications. Presumably these were in older patients. (2) Why did so many patients with scalds need prolonged ventilatory support? (3) Has this low rate changed your conventional wisdom, and, if so, advise us of the best time to convert to tracheostomies on our own little patients. (4) Do you do nonemergent tracheostomies in the OR or in the ICU? (5) Do you have any experience in children with the widely touted percutaneous tracheostomy appliances?

This paper will certainly make me think more seriously about converting to tracheostomy in children. I suppose an ugly neck scar may not be of such consequence in patients with 50% burn scars than it would be in those with a pristine integument.

Jorge L. Rodriguez, MD, Minneapolis, Minn: We presented a different patient population about 7 years ago and demonstrated that the timing of tracheostomy was important and associated with the incidence of complications. Your timing of tracheostomy was 9 days. We found that at 7 days it made no difference. Can you clarify for us, do you have any specific groups within the large group that you present whether the complications occurred because of the late tracheostomy process and/or because patients really have a significant inhalation injury, and how did you monitor the inhalation injury? Were the PaO2/FiO2 ratios or static compliance used? I think that is important to know, because we found that our rate of 25% of tracheal stenosis was in individuals who had significant inhalation injury.

C. Edward Hartford, MD, Denver, Colo: The authors stated in their abstract that the inability to safely secure the endotracheal tube often results in malposition, which predisposes to significant complications. This addresses the issue raised by Dr Heimbach: (1) Critiquing those individuals who did not have tracheostomy, what was the outcome of that group of patients? and (2) Were a number of the tracheostomies done as a result of some complication or injury resulting from endotracheal intubation?

Is there any age or size below which you would not do a tracheostomy? Would the authors comment on their technique of entering the trachea? The way in which this is done surgically will have an influence on the complication rate.

Basil A. Pruitt, Jr, MD, San Antonio, Tex: It is of concern that 39% of these patients who required intubation had scald burns. Was that because they ingested the hot water, or is that because this report is from the home of the Parkland formula, and these scald burn patients received excessive fluid resuscitation that resulted in a need for intubation? The authors noted that 23 patients had inhalation injury but that was confirmed by bronchoscopy in only 12. How did you make that diagnosis in the others, and was it in those patients who had occult inhalation injury that you had to do a relatively late tracheostomy?

Since tracheostomy usually permits more effective endobronchial toilet, did the pneumonia that was present in some of these patients clear more rapidly after tracheostomy, and was there a lower incidence of pneumonia in the patients with tracheostomy vs those with endotracheal intubation?

In our experience, the incidence of complications of tracheal intubation, such as stenosis and granulomatous polyps, appears to be related to the duration of intubation rather than the route of intubation. In light of that, do you have any data about the incidence of stenosis and polyp formation in those patients who had endotracheal intubation to confirm that such complications have indeed related to the duration rather than the route of intubation?

Dr Purdue: Dr Heimbach, why we have such a low rate of complications I don't know. It is still not true in the adults. Perhaps it is because of the uncuffed tube, which doesn't cause tracheomalacia beneath the tracheostomy site. The scald burns were a major problem. A number of these were patients who had grease scald burns from above. Thirty percent of our patients are intubated before they get to the hospital. Some of those patients were part of our contributory factor.

In answer to one of Dr Pruitt's questions, to some extent the burn edema with resuscitation may well have had a contribution here.

When should we convert to tracheostomy? We converted basically after we had a complication. Of the 76 tracheostomies, there were 7 elective procedures that were out at 14 days. In the other patients we tried to extubate and failed, of which were 3 of our 5 significant decannulation problems later.

The tracheostomies have traditionally been performed in the operating room although we are going more toward doing it in the intensive care unit itself.

I have no experience with the percutaneous vs open technique in the child, especially the very small children. Being able to do that if we are going to require bronchoscopy would be difficult.

In answer to Dr Hartford's question, the tracheostomy is through a standard transverse incision with a vertical incision through the third tracheal ring and a T-off at the top, which provides us with very good access.

In answer to Dr Rodriguez' question concerning the timing, we didn't know that special timing with regard to early or late affects the complications. The complications were basically supraglottic except for 1 subglottic stenosis. Interestingly, the patient with the web had had a previous history of turning cyanotic before he was burned.

Dr Hartford, in answer to malposition, that was a significant source of our complications. In the patients in whom we did the nonelective tracheostomies, basically malposition or plugging of the small endotracheal tube was the indication for the tracheostomy.

We had no age or size limits. The smallest patient was a 4-kg, 6-week-old-child. That was not one of the patients who had a complication, nor was there any relation of size to the complications that we had.

Dr Pruitt, in answer to the question of smoke inhalation, we couldn't perform bronchoscopy because of the small size of the patients who were already intubated. And, yes, there is a good chance that there were more patients with inhalation injury whom we did not pick up. It was felt that while not having positive data, our ability to perform better pulmonary toilet in the patients with existing pulmonary infections was greatly improved.