Hypothesis: Ideal reconstruction after gastrectomy should ensure that the patient has a sufficiently large enteric reservoir to accommodate normal meals. Little is known about the transit of food after different methods of stomach reconstruction. The hypothesis of this trial was that food transit after gastrectomy is delayed by a pouch reconstruction compared with reconstructions without a pouch.

Design: Prospective.

Setting: University hospital.

Patients and Methods: A total of 27 patients underwent an interposition of a jejunal loop between the esophagus and the duodenum with (n = 19) or without (n = 8) a 7- or 15-cm proximal pouch reconstruction. Standardized scintigraphic measurements were performed to evaluate the food transit at fixed postoperative times.

Main Outcome Measure: Scintigraphically measured food transit time.

Results: The food transit time 1 year postoperatively was significantly prolonged in patients with the 15-cm pouch in comparison with those with the 7-cm pouch and those without a pouch reconstruction (P = .005, P = .012). Patients with a pouch reconstruction tended to have better eating habits.

Conclusions: Our results confirm the hypothesis and suggest that interposition of a large 15-cm jejunal pouch can lead to a prolonged food transit time with enteric reservoir function resulting in better patient nutritional status.
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POSTPRANDIAL discomfort and malnutrition are common problems for patients after total gastrectomy. Since Schlatter performed the first successful total gastrectomy in 1897, numerous types of gastric replacements with various enteric reservoirs have been applied in an effort to improve symptoms and the nutritional status of patients following gastrectomy. The construction of a gastric substitute pouch has been proposed to ameliorate postprandial symptoms. The preservation of the duodenal passage—in contrast to the Roux-en-Y anastomosis—should result in better physiological enrichment of the chyme with bile and pancreatic juice and better physiological regulation of gastrointestinal hormones. The optimal pouch size and the functional effects of the jejunal pouch (JP) have not yet been determined.1,4 Additionally, there is little known about food transit after different modes of reconstruction for gastrectomy.

Moreover, the development of the enteric reservoir, or “pouch,” function of gastric replacement has not yet been objectively compared with a jejunal segment interposition without a pouch. For this reason we evaluated the enteric reservoir function of a pouch reconstruction following a total gastrectomy by examining scintigraphic findings of the intestinal transit of a test meal. These results were compared with the development of body weight and food consumption patterns postoperatively.

RESULTS

SCINTIGRAPHIC MEASUREMENTS

Two weeks postoperatively, the mean half-emptying time in the JIP group was shorter than the times in the JIPP 7 cm and the JIPP 15 cm groups (P = .005, JIP vs JIPP 7 cm; P = .012, JIP vs JIPP 15 cm). While the food transit time for the JIP group remained constant for the initial 6
**PATIENTS, MATERIALS, AND METHODS**

From 1995 to April 1997, 27 patients in the Department of Surgery of the Charité, Humboldt-University, Berlin, Germany, were enrolled in the study after undergoing total gastrectomy owing to gastric cancer. After resection and D2-lymphadenectomy under curative intention (R0-resection), the patients were randomized intraoperatively to 1 of 3 different types of reconstructions: (1) esophagoduodenal interposition of a 40-cm isoperistaltic jejunal segment without a JP (jejunal interposition without pouch [JIP] group) (n = 8); (2) esophagoduodenal interposition of a 47-cm isoperistaltic jejunal segment with a proximal 7-cm JP (JIP with a 7-cm pouch [JIPP 7 cm] group) (n = 10); (3) and esophagoduodenal interposition of a 55-cm isoperistaltic jejunal segment with a proximal JP of 15 cm (JIP with a 15-cm pouch [JIPP 15 cm] group) (n = 9)(Figure).

The anastomoses between the esophagus and the jejunal loop and the jejunal loop and the duodenum were performed with a circular stapler (CEEA 25 Autosuture; United States Surgical Corp, Norwalk, Conn). The pouch itself was constructed using a GIA-60 stapler (Auto-Suture; United States Surgical Corp). The 3 groups were homogeneous regarding age, sex, and tumor stage (Table 1). The median operating time was 210 minutes (range, 122-335 minutes).

**SCINTIGRAPHIC MEASUREMENTS**

Scintigraphy was performed in a standardized fashion: following an overnight fast, the patients consumed 60 mL of rice pudding labeled with technetium Tc 99m within 3 minutes. Each patient was placed in front of a gamma camera in a seated position, and the radioactivity was measured in the region of interest. The region of interest was defined as the area between the proximal and distal anastomosis of the interposed jejunal segment, which was marked by a pen on the skin after an x-ray film was taken of the segment. In each patient the half-emptying time was measured. Scintigraphic examinations were accomplished after preestablished postoperative periods of 14 days and 3, 6, and 12 months.

**BODY WEIGHT AND FOOD CONSUMPTION PATTERNS**

In all groups body weight was measured at the time of scintigraphy. At each follow-up visit, the patients were questioned about their food habits using a special questionnaire module. These questions addressed the number and quantity of meals consumed and changes in dietary restrictions. All data were recorded with a score from 1 to 4 (1, satisfactory, no problems; 2, minor problems; 3, moderate problems; 4, major problems).

The level of significance was defined as *P* < .05; for statistical tests, the Kruskal-Wallis, Mann-Whitney test, and the Wilcoxon rank sum test were used. Approval of the investigations had been given by the Ethics Commission of Humboldt-University.

**Table 1. Information on Patients Undergoing Total Gastrectomy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>JIP (n = 8)</th>
<th>JIPP 7 cm (n = 10)</th>
<th>JIPP 15 cm (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y (range)</td>
<td>63.5 (45-74)</td>
<td>59.8 (28-71)</td>
<td>62.3 (43-73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage, UICC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*JIP indicates jejunal interposition without pouch; JIPP 7 cm, jejunal interposition with 7-cm pouch; JIPP 15 cm, jejunal interposition with 15-cm pouch; and UICC, International Union Against Cancer.

months, the half-emptying time increased in both pouch groups. Twelve months postoperatively, the JIPP 15 cm group had a significantly prolonged scintigraphically measured food transit time compared with the JIPP 7 cm and the JIP groups (Table 2).

**BODY WEIGHT**

In all groups the body weight (percentage of preoperative weight) decreased until 6 months postoperatively. In the JIPP 15 cm group, body weight was clearly regained after 12 months. The JIPP 15 cm group nearly achieved their preoperative body weight after 1 year (Table 3).
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**Table 2. Scintigraphy Measurements for Patients Following
Reconstruction After Total Gastrectomy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative Measurement</th>
<th>JIP</th>
<th>JIPP 7 cm</th>
<th>JIPP 15 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 d‡</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 mo‡</td>
<td>15.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mo‡</td>
<td>15.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mo‡</td>
<td>23.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>23.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*JIP indicates jejunal interposition without pouch; JIPP 7 cm, jejunal interposition with 7-cm pouch; and JIPP 15 cm, jejunal interposition with 15-cm pouch. All values are given as mean ± SD.
†Significance (P< .05) was seen between all 3 groups at 14 days postoperatively (JIP vs JIPP 7 cm; P = .046; JIP vs JIPP 15 cm, P = .009; JIPP 7 cm vs JIPP 15 cm, P = .04).
‡No significance was seen between the JIP group and the JIP 7 cm group at 6 (P = .55) and 12 (P = .38) months postoperatively.

**Table 3. Development of Body Weight in Patients Following
Reconstruction After Total Gastrectomy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative Measurement</th>
<th>JIP</th>
<th>JIPP 7 cm</th>
<th>JIPP 15 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 d</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*JIP indicates jejunal interposition without pouch; JIPP 7 cm, jejunal interposition with 7-cm pouch; and JIPP 15 cm, jejunal interposition with 15-cm pouch. All values are given as percentages.

The most sensitive technique for determining abnor-
malities of gastric emptying is to use the gamma-emitting ra-
dionuclide 99mTc–test meal, which enables tight binding
to occur between the radioactive tracer and solid food.15
Isotopic methods were also used because they involve less
radiation exposure for the patient compared with fluo-
roscopy and are well accepted by the patient.12,13,18-20 To
our knowledge, all published studies that evaluate food
transit after gastrectomy and reconstruction with a JP re-
fer to only 1 postoperative measurement, mostly taken
1 year postoperatively. No reliable continuous data ex-
ist analyzing the functional development of a gastric res-
ervoir after pouch reconstruction over a period of time.
Furthermore, to our knowledge, in all previous studies,
different barium meals or radioactively labeled meals have
been used for radiographic determinations, for instance
rice, eggs, beef, or cake.16,18-20

Only a limited comparison between the results of
various studies is possible. Takeshita et al12 described the
pouch examination with a barium meal and radiopaque
markers. The authors revealed favorable retention of the
barium meal in the JP and satisfactory bowel motility,
as measured by the percentage of radiopaque markers that
were expelled from the pouch. However, these exami-
nations were performed only once—6 months or longer—
postoperatively.

The scintigraphic examination results of our trial
demonstrate the development of a possible enteric res-
ervoir function of the JIPP 15 cm beginning after the sixth
postoperative month. In the JIPP 7 cm and JIP groups,
only a small or no enteric reservoir function was shown
in the scintigraphic measurements compared with the JIPP
15 cm group. The findings of our trial support the re-
sults of Tanaka et al.21 Their studies suggest that differ-
ences in JP length—even of only 5 cm—might play a role
in postoperative food consumption patterns and thus in-
fluence postoperative symptoms and metabolism of pa-
tients who underwent gastrectomy.14,16,20 The patients in
the JIPP 7 cm group showed a decreased half-emptying
time after 1 year compared with the examinations con-
ducted 3 and 6 months postoperatively. This fact may
be explained by disturbances of emptying of the inter-
posed jejunal loop the first time postoperatively. Special
measurements like manometry could be helpful in an-
swering this question.
The scintigraphic measurements are not completely without mistakes and depend on many physiological alterations. This is a possible explanation of the great amount of SD in the different groups of our trial. Nakane et al. described different types of emptying patterns after pouch reconstruction: delayed, intermediate, and rapid type. Stier et al. described a slower bowel transit by a Roux-en-Y esophagojunal anastomosis in patients who underwent total gastrectomy compared with normal controls. Sufficient food intake is fundamental for patients who have undergone gastrectomy. In our trial, patients in the JIPP 15 cm group are the only ones who nearly achieved their preoperative food consumption patterns (normal amount of meals, 4-5 per day). This was especially so for those patients with a large pouch who came close to their preoperative body weight after 1 year and had only minor nutritional problems, indicating that a smaller pouch (7 cm) does not suffice. In their study Troidl et al. described the development of food intake after gastrectomy and the recovery of the patients with a Hunt-Lawrence Rodino pouch compared with a Roux-en-Y reconstruction 6 months postoperatively. Patients who underwent a Roux-en-Y reconstruction did not exceed half of the preoperative food intake on average in contrast to patients with a pouch reconstruction. In the results of our trial, the better clinical outcome of the patients who underwent a large pouch reconstruction seems to be explained by the development of the pouch reservoir 6 months postoperatively. A large pouch can be assumed to considerably support the patient’s nutritional needs after total gastrectomy.

This leads to the question, in which case should a pouch reconstruction be considered? Because an enteric reservoir function will show no significant yield before the sixth postoperative month, the chances of survival for the patient should be at least 1 year from the time of diagnosis. Only patients with a postoperative prognosis of at least 1 year will profit from an improved quality of life. If the resection is considered to be palliative treatment, then a simple reconstructive procedure, such as a Roux-en-Y or loop jejunostomy, is a sensible option.

CONCLUSIONS

Jejunal interposition between the esophagus and the duodenum with a 15-cm pouch reconstruction can lead to a prolonged food transit time with enteric reservoir function. Whether the pouch reconstruction should be considered as the treatment of choice for reconstruction after total gastrectomy for patients who have a chance of surviving for at least 1 year must be studied further and include more examination into the quality of life.
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