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Hypothesis: We investigated the frequency and reasons for conversion from cervical block anesthesia to general anesthesia (GA) in patients undergoing minimally invasive parathyroidectomy for primary hyperparathyroidism.

Design: Prospective case series.

Setting: Tertiary university hospital.

Patients: A total of 441 consecutive patients with primary hyperparathyroidism undergoing minimally invasive parathyroidectomy under cervical block and monitored anesthesia care using midazolam and narcotics were included. Patients with known multiglandular, familial, or secondary hyperparathyroidism or noninformative preoperative localization or those electing minimally invasive parathyroidectomy under GA were excluded.

Intervention: All patients underwent cervical block anesthesia and focused exploration using minimally invasive techniques.

Main Outcome Measure: Intraoperative need for conversion from cervical block anesthesia to general endotracheal anesthesia.

Results: Of the 441 patients, 47 (10.6%) required conversion to GA. In all instances, conversion was performed in a controlled fashion using neuromuscular blockade, endotracheal intubation, and maintenance of the original surgical field preparation. Sixteen procedures were converted to accomplish simultaneous thyroid resections. An additional 15 were converted because the intraoperative parathyroid hormone level failed to decrease by at least 50% from the baseline after resection of the incident parathyroid tumor and extensive exploration was required. Eight procedures were converted because of technical difficulties related to ensuring adequate protection of the recurrent laryngeal nerve. Five procedures were converted to optimize patient comfort, and 2 were converted because of the intraoperative recognition of parathyroid carcinoma. One patient experienced a toxic reaction to lidocaine, causing a seizure.

Conclusions: The vast majority of minimally invasive parathyroidectomies can be performed using cervical block anesthesia. However, conversion to GA is appropriate when unexpected intraoperative findings are encountered or for patient comfort.
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Primary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) is common, especially in elderly women, and health-screening examinations suggest a prevalence of up to 2.1% in postmenopausal women. Primary HPT of nonfamilial origin can be attributed to a benign, single adenoma in 80% of cases, to multiglandular parathyroid hyperplasia in 15% to 20% of cases, and rarely to parathyroid carcinoma. Surgery is the only available curative treatment.

Bilateral neck exploration under general anesthesia (GA) with intraoperative frozen-section histopathologic examination of excised parathyroid tissue identifying all parathyroid glands has been the preferred surgical management of primary HPT. However, because of the advancements in preoperative localization, availability of intraoperative parathyroid hormone (PTH) measurement, and the fact that most patients with primary HPT have a unilateral parathyroid adenoma, minimally invasive parathyroidectomy (MIP) techniques have been developed. The MIP technique has become the standard of care in institutions with significant experience with the procedure. Detailed follow-up of 656 consecutive parathyroid explorations shows that the success rate is 99% with the MIP technique vs 97% with the conventional technique. Also, the time to hospital discharge and costs are significantly reduced.
The MIP technique used at our institution is generally performed with the patient awake, using surgeon-administered cervical block and monitored anesthesia care.3,11 However, in some instances, conversion to GA is performed. This study analyzes 441 consecutive cases of MIP under cervical block anesthesia and frequency and reason for conversion to general endotracheal anesthesia.

Between January 2000 and April 2004, 441 consecutive patients with primary HPT underwent MIP under cervical block and monitored anesthesia care using midazolam and narcotics. All patients underwent preoperative localization studies either with technetium Tc 99–labeled sestamibi with single-photon emission computed tomography imaging or with technetium Tc 99m–labeled methoxyisobutylisonitrile. The clinical characteristics of the 441 consecutive patients with primary HPT undergoing MIP are presented in Table 1. Of the 441 patients, 47 (10.6%) required conversion to GA. In all instances, conversion was performed in a controlled fashion using neuromuscular blockade, endotracheal intubation, and maintenance of the original surgical field preparation.

Sixteen procedures were converted to accomplish simultaneous thyroid resections owing to concomitant thy-roid pathologic features (Table 2). Of the 16 patients, 12 had benign adenomas, 3 had well-differentiated thyroid cancer confined to the thyroid, and 1 exhibited papillary thyroid cancer with local level VI lymph node metastases. An additional 15 patients were converted because the intraoperative PTH level failed to decrease by at least 50% from the baseline after resection of the incident parathyroid tumor and extensive exploration was required, identifying 2 enlarged glands or “double adenomas” in 6 cases, whereas the remaining patients had primary parathyroid hyperplasia. In all these instances, additional parathyroid pathologic features were identified and resected, with an ensuing appropriate decrease in the intraoperative PTH levels. Eight procedures were converted because of technical difficulties related to ensuring adequate protection of the recurrent laryngeal nerve. Five procedures were converted to optimize patient comfort. In 2 instances, conversions were owing to intraoperative recognition of parathyroid carcinoma, which was treated with en bloc resection with ipsilateral hemithyroidectomy. One patient experienced a toxic reaction to lidocaine, causing a seizure. Although transient inadvertent blockade of other nerves (eg, vagus, brachial plexus) rarely occurred, there were no other complications of the cervical block.

Of the 47 patients, 45 (95.7%) were normocalcemic at a mean±SEM follow-up of 9±0.01 months. One patient had persistent hypercalcemia, whereas 1 patient with parathyroid cancer had recurrent disease. One patient developed severe hypocalcemia.

The standard technique for parathyroid exploration includes bilateral cervical exploration with the goal of identifying all parathyroid glands.3,5 This procedure usually involves GA, although it has been performed with bilateral regional cervical block. The objective of identifying all parathyroid glands is to differentiate between uniglandular and multiglandular HPT. Patients with a parathyroid adenoma will undergo curative resection when the adenoma is excised. In patients with multiglandular disease, a total parathyroidectomy with immediate het-
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Erotopic transplantation of parathyroid tissue to the non-dominant forearm or a subtotal parathyroidectomy leaving a well-vascularized parathyroid remnant in situ are appropriate operations.

Parathyroid surgery is a meticulous procedure and operative experience correlates to rates of recurrence, persistence, and complications. Standard bilateral cervical exploration, when performed by experienced surgeons, has a cure rate exceeding 95%.3,10,11

Because of the advancements in preoperative localization, availability of intraoperative PTH measurement, and the fact that most patients with primary HPT have a unilateral parathyroid adenoma, a number of MIP techniques have been developed and are likely to replace the conventional bilateral cervical exploration in the majority of patients.5,7,9,13,14

In 441 consecutive patients, we analyzed the reason for conversion from cervical block to general anesthesia. In all instances, conversion was performed in a controlled fashion using neuromuscular blockade, endotracheal intubation, and maintenance of the original surgical field preparation. The most common reason was unrecognized concomitant thyroid pathologic features. This rate corresponds to those previously reported in the literature.15 In 15 cases, the intraoperative PTH level failed to decrease.
to adequately decline, suggesting remaining hyperfunctioning parathyroid tissue. Indeed, in all patients, additional hyperfunctioning parathyroid tissue was identified, with subsequent normalization of serum PTH levels. Eight procedures were converted because of technical difficulties related to ensuring adequate protection of the recurrent laryngeal nerve. The anatomy of the recurrent laryngeal nerve can vary significantly between patients. In rare cases, a formal nerve dissection must be performed dissecting 1 or more branches off the parathyroid adenoma. Usually, this can be accomplished using cervical block anesthesia. However, we have encountered patients who had both a technically difficult nerve dissection and were uncomfortable lying still on the operating table. Under such circumstances, we elected to convert to general endotracheal anesthesia to ensure a safe dissection. Five cases underwent conversion because of subjective claustrophobia experienced by the patient. Importantly, in 2 cases, parathyroid carcinoma was diagnosed intraoperatively. Conversion to bilateral standard cervical exploration is beneficial in such cases to ensure adequate en bloc resection and ipsilateral hemithyroidectomy.3,10,16 The suspicion of parathyroid carcinoma is the responsibility of the operating surgeon based on intraoperative findings of a large, firm parathyroid gland that appears to invade the surrounding structures. This occurred in the 2 cases that were converted to general endotracheal anesthesia. In each case, permanent histopathologic diagnosis confirmed the diagnosis and demonstrated a complete resection. One patient experienced a toxic reaction to lidocaine, causing a seizure. Overall, the cure rate was 95.7% in patients requiring conversion to GA, which is slightly lower than the previously reported cure rate of 99% for MIP at our institution. The lower cure rate in this population is not surprising, however, because these cases represent the most challenging subset of patients, who by definition could not be cured using the standard MIP technique. The advantage of cervical block anesthesia vs GA relates to the decreased operating time, costs, and need for oral pain medication.7,8 Additionally, endotracheal intubation by itself has been associated with objective functional voice changes in as much as 5% of patients.17 In conclusion, the vast majority of MIPs can be performed using cervical block anesthesia. However, conversion to GA is appropriate when unexpected intraoperative findings are encountered or for patient comfort.
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DISCUSSION

Jack Monchik, MD, Providence, RI: In looking at your data, the great majority of patients had thyroid surgery that required conversion. In Providence, we’ve been using bilateral cervical block as described by LoGerfo, and this has enabled us to do thyroid surgery. At the same time, it also enables you, when the PTH assay does not drop appropriately, to easily look at the other side.

Dr Carling: Usually we start out with an ipsilateral cervical block; however, as you mentioned, that can easily be done as a contralateral block as well, and in many instances, we do continue the operation without conversion to general anesthesia but with a bilateral exploration.

Thomas Tracy, MD, Providence: It seemed as though you had a very low percentage of patient discomfort as the cause of conversion. Do you have 1 team of anesthesiologists doing this all the time?

Dr Carling: Yes, that’s correct. Dr Rinder is the anesthesiologist who does the vast majority of these cases, and I think the key is to have a good understanding of the procedure from an anesthesia point of view as well as communication between the surgeon and anesthesiologist.